### F. M. S Turetta, H.V.H. Ayala, L.G. Trabasso and J. Alfredson Data-driven Pilot Behavior Modeling Applied to a VMCG Determination Flight Test Task



## Agenda

- Introduction and motivation
- Flight test task and model structure
- Experimental procedure and real test data
- Model identification and results
- Conclusions and further work

- Introduction and motivation
  - Increasing use of modeling and simulation to lower development time, costs and increase maturity;
  - Pilot-in-the-loop simulations although very effective, are costly and in some terms, subjective;
  - Mathematical models allow use of computational tools like Monte Carlo analysis or optimization algorithms;
  - Pilot models are usually PID like structures (with varying levels of complexity), but could it be that system identification techniques could provide better models?

- Flight test task and model structure
  - V<sub>MCG</sub> maneuver was chosen as a proof of concept



### Flight test task and model structure

- Input->Yaw rate integral
- Output -> Rudder pedal displacement
  - Non-linearity at output-> Saturation



- Flight test task and model structure
  - Considering the factors (specially the nonlinearity), two structures were evaluated
    - Hammerstein-Weiner model
      - Linear model with static non linearity's at the input and output



- PID with anti-windup
  - Regular discrete PID with a switch at the input to zero the input when the saturation was reached

- Experimental procedure and real test data
  - Initially an experiment was envisioned to obtain data;
  - Due to difficulties in the experimentation, real flight test data was used;
  - Two sets were used
    - Identification set
    - Validation set
    - Same aircraft, same test pilot, same day, same weather conditions...

#### Experimental procedure and real test data



- Model identification and results
  - Hammerstein-Wiener model
    - Which order to use on the linear part of the model?

$$(t) f(.) \rightarrow H(z^{-1}) \rightarrow g(.) \rightarrow y(t)$$

 First guess, 3 at the numerator and 2 at the denominator, obtained from the discrete PID equation

$$u[k] = -\frac{a_1}{a_0}u[k-1] - \frac{a_2}{a_0}u[k-2] + \frac{b_0}{a_0}e[k] + \frac{b_1}{a_0}e[k-1] + \frac{b_2}{a_0}e[k-2]$$

- Model identification and results
  - Hammerstein-Wiener model
    - But raising the orders up to a certain point (5/4) did improve the model fit



- Model identification and results
  - Hammerstein-Wiener model
    - Model response versus identification (left) and validation (right) data



- Model identification and results
  - PID with anti-windup

$$u[k] = -\frac{a_1}{a_0}u[k-1] - \frac{a_2}{a_0}u[k-2] + \frac{b_0}{a_0}e[k] + \frac{b_1}{a_0}e[k-1] + \frac{b_2}{a_0}e[k-2]$$

 This model yielded worse results when compared to the NLWH, specially against validation data



### Model identification and results

#### Metrics for both models

NLHW (Higher order)		PID - Anti Windup	
Compared with	Compared with	Compared with	Compared with
Identification data	Validation data	Identification data	Validation data
Correlation=0.9915	Correlation=0.8417	Correlation=0.9646	Correlation=0.2764
$R^{2}_{=}0.9829$	R <sup>2</sup> =0.3658	$R^2_{=}0.9245$	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup> ≈0
Fit=86.91%	Fit=20.37%	Fit=72.52%	Fit=-(63,54)%

#### Conclusions

- The methodology seems feasible for application to this and other scenarios;
- Representing human adaptability appears to be the main concern as it was observed with the validation data;
- System identification appears to be a useful tool to obtain pilot models as it was capable of providing a more robust model than the regular PID structure.

#### Further work

- Improving the experiment execution and investigating which variables do impact on the model qualities and characteristics;
- Using different mathematical representations, such as Neural Networks and best linear approximations
- Future applications for such models:
  - Detection and identification of events;
  - Compensating/controlling;
  - Using them in the loop with optimization algorithms to optimize flying qualities.

Felipe Turetta: <u>felipe.turetta@embraer.com.br</u> Helon Ayala: <u>helon.ayala@embraer.com.br</u> Luís Gonzaga Trabasso: <u>gonzaga@ita.br</u> / <u>luis.gonzaga.trabasso@liu.se</u> Jens Alfredson: <u>jens.alfredson@saabgroup.com</u> / <u>jens.alfredson@liu.se</u>

