# Prediction of damage and fracture during forming simulations in Alloy 718

Lluís Pérez Caro, Mikael Schill, Stefan Marth, Eva-Lis Odenberger, Mats Oldenburg



October 11-12, 2016 - Solna - Stockholm

Lluís Pérez Caro Researcher / Industrial PhD student, <u>luis.perez.caro@swerea.se</u>





swerea

swerea

PART OF RI.SE

#### Contents

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Aim and scope
- 3. Material
- 4. GISSMO damage model
  - 1. Implementation in LS-DYNA
  - 2. Calibration
- 5. Forming simulation coupled with damage
- 6. Summary and conclusions
- 7. Acknowledgements



#### NFFP6 SME project

Project: Virtual process chain for superalloy sheet metal aero engine structures – Validation and demonstrator



PART OF RI.SE



#### 1. Motivation



PART OF RI.SE

swerea

#### 1. Motivation





**GKN** Turbine Exhaust Case

swerea



PART OF RI.SE

#### 2. Aim and scope

- Use the GISSMO damage model in order to accurately predict risk of cracks in the drawbeads after forming an Alloy 718 sheet
  - $\circ~$  Calibrate the GISSMO damage model
  - Compare forming simulations with experimental forming tests at room temperature

swerea

#### 3. Material

- Alloy 718
  - Precipitation hardened nickel-chromium superalloy
  - Solution annealed condition
  - Nominal thickness of 2.54 mm





Hardening curves and r-values for Alloy 718 at room temperature

PART OF RI.SE

swerea

#### 3. Material

- Alloy 718
  - Precipitation hardened nickel-chromium superalloy
  - Solution annealed condition
  - Nominal thickness of 2.54 mm





![](_page_7_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_9.jpeg)

swerea

PART OF RI.SE

## 4. GISSMO damage model

- Generalized Incremental Stress State dependent damage Model
- Prediction of ductile damage
- Failure occurs when D = 1
- Implemented into LS-DYNA and coupled with \*MAT\_133 (Barlat YId2000)

swerealive

swerea

• Parameter determination directly from experimental tests

#### 4. GISSMO damage model - Implementation

- Cards 3 and 4 of the LS-DYNA keyword \*MAT\_ADD\_EROSION
- IDAM = 1
- DMGTYP = 1
- Main inputs
  - LCSDG: failure strain vs. triaxiality
  - $\circ~$  ECRIT: instability strain vs. triaxiality
  - o LCREGD: element size regularisation

![](_page_9_Figure_8.jpeg)

Neukamm, Feucht, DuBois & Haufe (2008-2010)

swerea

PART OF RI.SE

#### 4. GISSMO damage model - Implementation

- Cards 3 and 4 of the LS-DYNA keyword \*MAT\_ADD\_EROSION
- IDAM = 1
- DMGTYP = 1
- Main inputs
  - LCSDG: failure strain vs. triaxiality
  - o ECRIT: instability strain vs. triaxiality
  - LCREGD: element size regularisation

![](_page_10_Figure_8.jpeg)

Neukamm, Feucht, DuBois & Haufe (2008-2010)

swerea

PART OF RI.SE

#### 4. GISSMO damage model - Implementation

- Cards 3 and 4 of the LS-DYNA keyword \*MAT\_ADD\_EROSION
- IDAM = 1
- DMGTYP = 1
- Main inputs
  - LCSDG: failure strain vs. triaxiality
  - o ECRIT: instability strain vs. triaxiality
  - o LCREGD: element size regularisation

![](_page_11_Figure_8.jpeg)

Haufe, DuBois, Neukamm, Feucht. LS-Dyna Developer Forum 2011, DYNAmore, Stuttgart

PART OF RI.SE

swerea

## 4. GISSMO damage model - Calibration

- 6 different geometries tested ۲
- ARAMIS<sup>™</sup> optical strain measuring system ۲

Plane Strain Shear 15°

![](_page_12_Picture_3.jpeg)

Hydraulic press 1,300 t

![](_page_12_Picture_5.jpeg)

MTS 100 kN

swerea

ARAMIS<sup>™</sup> strain field before fracture of GISSMO specimens

**Biaxial** 

![](_page_12_Picture_10.jpeg)

A50

A10

![](_page_12_Picture_11.jpeg)

A80

#### 4. GISSMO damage model - Calibration

- 6 different geometries tested
- ARAMIS<sup>™</sup> optical strain measuring system

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_4.jpeg)

swerea

#### 5. Forming simulation coupled with damage

 The damage in the material is accumulated. Element failure occurs for D = 1. Then, the element is deleted

![](_page_14_Figure_2.jpeg)

swerea

#### 6. Summary and conclusions

- An initial calibration of the GISSMO damage model for an Alloy 718 sheet at room temperature is performed
- Experimental stress triaxiality values have a good agreement with simulations for six different specimen geometries
- A forming simulation including the GISSMO damage model can predict failure in the same areas where cracks were observed from forming tests at room temperature

swerea

#### 7. Acknowledgements

 The support from GKN Aerospace Sweden AB, VINNOVA – Swedish Governmental Agency for Innovation Systems NFFP6 program for SME, Swedish Armed Forces and Swedish Defence Materiel Administration is greatly appreciated. Grant No. 2013-01173

![](_page_16_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_0.jpeg)

Vi arbetar på vetenskaplig grund för att skapa industrinytta. www.swerea.se

PART OF RI.SE

swerea