Influence of Process Parameters on Microstructure using Laser Metal Powder Deposition



Andreas Segerstark^{*}, Joel Andersson and Lars-Erik Svensson Department of Engineering Science, University West, Trollhättan, SE-461 86, Sweden *Corresponding author email: <u>andreas.segerstark@hv.se</u>



AM publications 1995-2015



Scopus.com. (2016). "Analyze Results - Additive Manufacturing." Retrieved 2016-08-19, from http://www.scopus.com.

2

UNIVERSITY WEST



manufacturing of Ti6Al4V alloy for aerospace applications" Ph.D. Thesis, University of Manchester.

Material

- Ni-Fe based superalloys Alloy 718
 - FCC (γ) gamma matrix
 - Secondary phases
 - γ' Gamma prime
 - γ'' Gamma double prime
 - δ delta phase
 - Laves phase
 - Carbides
 - MC
 - M₆C
 - M₂₃C₆





Applications in aero industry

- Direct connection to the aero industry with application such as
 - Repair method for sensitive parts
 - Turbine blades
 - Manifolds
 - Add on features to jet engine
 - Stiffeners
 - Refurbish end of life products
 - Manufacture turbine blades











The LMD process



Pre-study evaluating the most influential process parameters



Effect of process parameters on dimensional characteristics





Placket Burman DOE – which are the most influential process parameters?

Laser power	400 to 1000 W
Scanning speed	5 to 30 mm/s
Powder feeding rate	2 to 10 g/min
Shield gas flow	8 to 15 L/min
Powder standoff	-1 to 1 mm
Laser stand off	5 to 10 mm

- 8 parameter sets
 - 3 mid-points replicates
- Distribution of deposits to prevent heat concentration



Results – Width and Height deposit



Dimensional characteristics

Surface finish – Effect of powderfocus

direct metal deposition." Optics & Laser Technology 44(2): 349-356.

Conclusions

- Width of deposit
 - Laser power
 - Scanning speed
- Height of deposit
 - <u>Powder feeding rate</u>
 - Scanning speed

Main process parameters in present study

• Negative <u>powder standoff</u> (to a degree) = Straighter top surface (better surface finish)

UNIVERSITY WEST

Two level full factorial design of experiment

Crystallography – EBSD

- 111 b) a) C 101 001 Pole Figures Pole Figures Pole Figures {100} {100} {100} [4th.cpr] [3rd.cpr] [2nd.cpr] Ni-superalloy (m3m) Ni-superalloy (m3m) Ni-superalloy (m3m) Complete data set Complete data set Complete data set 11411 data points 11331 data points 12286 data points Equal Area projection Equal Area projection Equal Area projection Upper hemispheres Upper hemispheres Upper hemispheres Half width:10* Half width:10* Half width:10* Cluster size:10* Cluster size:10* Cluster size:10* Exp. densities (mud): Exp. densities (mud): Exp. densities (mud): Min= 0.01, Max=22.16 Min= 0.05, Max= 8.76 Min= 0.07, Max=11.77
- Low heat input (16 J/mm)

Crystallography – EBSD

 High heat input (100 J/mm)

Conclusions

- Vastly different crystallographic structures are attained when changing between a high and a low HI in LMD-p.
- Strong texture using high HI
 - majority of grains growing in the (001) direction along the build-up direction.
 - Crystallographic orientation same for the bottom grains as in the substrate due to epitaxial growth.
- Finer and close to equiaxed grains using low HI
 - Generally weaker texture than in high HI.
 - The low HI leads to a rapid solidification velocity which pushes solutes ahead of the solidification front leading to the nucleation of new grains rather than epitaxial growth.
- However, also in low HI it can be seen that some larger grains are formed in the bottom part of the deposit.

Thank you for your attention!

