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Will we ever explore Mars in the future and how do we come back?

Refueling? Why methane?
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Ariane 5 Launch
Gas Generator Cycle with Turbopumps

- Simple integration, simple control
- Relatively high power -> main engine lift-off
- High pressure ratio turbines -> supersonic, impulse type
- High temp turbines
- Exhaust gas losses -> lower ISP than SC

\[
I_{SP} = \frac{u_e}{g_0}
\]

Vulcain 2 (Ariane 5, main)
- 1st stage main engine, 8% of lift-off power
- Corresponds to 3 Airbus A340s
- 1350 kN Thrust at sea level
- 431s Isp (LOX-H2)
- Mass flow 316 kg/s, turbine exhaust 10kg/s
- Runs 600s
- 1800 kg weight
- 73,6 thrust-to-weight ratio
- LOX/LH2
- CC pressure 117 bar
- Expendable

\[
F_{thrust} \approx \dot{m} \cdot u_e
\]
Heat loads - cooling

- Typical heat transfer rates in rocket propulsion are higher than those in jet engines.
- Combustion temperatures ~*2 the melting point of steel.
- The maximum temperature obtained at nominal/extreme operational conditions dictates the choice of material and also the cooling method/layout that can be used.

Vulcain 2 NE

Combustion chamber wall: regenerative cooling

Spiral tube wall: convective cooling

Sheet metal skirt wall: film- and radiation-cooling
MERiT - MEthane in Rocket nozzle cooling channels - conjugate heat Transfer measurements

- Propulsion system using hydrocarbons (Methane)
  - grand challenge for today’s rocket and space propulsion systems
  - Possibility for re-fulling @ extraterrestrial territories with local assets (Mars… H2O + CO2 + energy, Sabatier process)
  - Good performance indicators for methane
    - good specific thrust (~380 s @ sea level) & cooling charact.
    - low cost, non-toxic, availability & ease of handling/storability
    - Higher density, lower oxi/fuel ratio $\rightarrow$ smaller tank (comp. to LH2)

MERiT - MEthane in Rocket nozzle cooling channels - conjugate heat Transfer measurements

- **Rocket nozzle challenges**
  - Coking in cooling channels due to fuel impurities and/or from thermal decomposition of methane @ high temperatures
  - Degradation of the heat transfer capabilities
  - Increased cooling channel pressure losses

- It is of utter importance for future nozzle designs to quantify the heat transfer characteristics of typical Nickel-based alloy steels used
- Very few places in the world having the infrastructure for heat transfer studies of this type (high temp./press.)
- EGI / ITM / KTH is commissioning a test facility on the EGI lab roof

(d) The sweep of temperature experiment increase
Fig.2. Experimental results of CH4 thermal cracking(Ni contents of each material mass level is shown)
T.Makino and H.Sakaguchi, AIAA 2008-4753
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Raptor Engine
Engine tests Feb-19

Starship hopper →
Project Objectives

- For a relevant alloy and typical cooling channel geometries, fuel grade and operating conditions determine:
  - heat transfer coefficient (coolant side)
  - degree of coking and corrosion
  - pressure loss

as a function of
- heat load
- wall temperature
- Reynolds number
- fuel grade
- pressure level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Heat flux (MW/m²)</th>
<th>Pressure level (bar)</th>
<th>Inlet temperature (K)</th>
<th>W (-)</th>
<th>h (-)</th>
<th>t₁ (-)</th>
<th>t₂ (-)</th>
<th>Length (-)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>21-6-9</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>40-160</td>
<td>270-555</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Carpenter 40</td>
<td>3.5-4.5</td>
<td>40-160</td>
<td>270-555</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>21-6-9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>40-160</td>
<td>270-555</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.26</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Inconel 600</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>40 bar</td>
<td>270-555</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Methodology

- Design and commission a test rig for conjugate heat transfer studies according to specs and perform trials
- Supported with numerical studies
MERiT 1 – inert pre-trials

- Air tests: tests are to verify heat transfer estimations (1D, NUM)
- Channel: Inco600
- Heating concept (heater cartridge, 6*400W, current controlled)
  MFC to control/measure fluid flow
  Instrumentation: bulk gas temperatures, heating power, fluid flow, back pressure
MERiT1 - Final Heater Design

Targets:
Maximize the heat pick-up in fluid
Minimize the maximum block-temperature
MERiT1 - Infrastructure

- **NI cRIO**: Control & Measurement system
- **Pre-heating / purge**
- **Inerting test cell**
- **Methane trial**
- **4 bar evacuation pipe**
- **N2 and CO2 inlets**
- **Cold flares**
- **Gas cage**
- **Final heater**
- **Finnal heater**

---
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MERiT 2 - Final heater – meas. setup

Mature measurement technologies TC:s, Coriolis flow meter, pressure transducers, gas chromatography (degree of thermal decomposition)...
MERiT 2 – NUM

ANSYS modeling suite

- Fluid: CFX RANS, Total Energy, subsonic, $BC_{inlet}: T_{in}$, $\dot{m}$, $BC_{outlet}: p_{out}$
- Material specs. and heat flux at el.cartridges' surface, HTC on outer insulation walls (10 W/m²K)
- Mesh: structured for fluid (H-grid, O-grid), mesh independency study, $y+ < 0.9$ along channel walls, structured & unstructured grid for materials
- 6.4 mNodes (~45% in fluid)
MERiT 2 – NUM

Response surfaces (ANSYS OptiSLang) → identify EXP points of interest

EXP: $T_{\text{max}\_HB} = 1023$ K (limit el. cartridge)
MERiT 2 – NUM

Maximum fluid temp. when temp_HB < 1023 K

CH4 cracking ~above 750 K
Outcomes...

- Test build design
  - Conceptual and design report
  - 2 MSc theses (NUM)
- Infrastructure design
  - Risk assessment report
  - 2 Internships (EXP, NUM)
- Commissioning and Trials
  - Third party audit approval
  - Test and measurement plan report
  - Commissioning report
  - PhD student from Oct 2019
- Conf. paper
  - SP2018
  - AEC2020 (submitted)
Summary / Outlook

Summary

• A world-unique test rig for high pressure (200 bar), high temperatures (1023 K) and fuel thermal power < 1.8 MW heat transfer studies for channels have been designed, manufactured, installed, approved...partly commissioned

• Tight time plan to build a very complex infrastructure (high press, high temp.) → 1.5 years delay compared to the original plan

• Acknowledgements to project funding organizations:
  o Swedish National Space Agency (NRFP3-4)
  o ESA (FLPP)
  o GKN Aerospace Sweden
  o KTH, EGI dept.(KTH)

Outlook

• Final commissioning - authority approval
• Test readiness review Oct/Nov 2019
• Nov/Dec 2019 initial tests with methane
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