REPORT
TSL 2021-3952
July 2021

ICAO State Action Plan on CO2 Reduction Activities in Sweden

9th July 2021

Maritime and Civil Aviation Department
Sustainable Development Unit

The report is available on the Swedish Transport Agency's website
www.transportstyrelsen.se

Designation	TSL 2021-3952
Author	Ohlsson Max, Svensson Isabella
Month Year	July 2021

Table of contents

COMMON INTRODUCTURY SECTION FOR EUROPEAN STATES´ ACTION PLANS FOR CO_2 EMISSIONS REDUCTIONS

a) The ICAO Contracting State Sweden is a member of the European Union and of the European Civil Aviation Conference (ECAC). ECAC is an intergovernmental organisation covering the widest grouping of Member States[1] of any European organisation dealing with civil aviation. It is currently composed of 44 Member States, and was created in 1955.

b) ECAC States share the view that the environmental impacts of the aviation sector must be mitigated, if aviation is to continue to be successful as an important facilitator of economic growth and prosperity, being an urgent need to achieve the ICAO goal of Carbon Neutral Growth from 2020 onwards (CNG2020), and to strive for further emissions reductions. Together, they fully support ICAO's on-going efforts to address the full range of those impacts, including the key strategic challenge posed by climate change, for the sustainable development of international air transport.

c) All ECAC States, in application of their commitment in the 2016 Bratislava Declaration, support CORSIA implementation and have notified ICAO of their decision to voluntarily participate in CORSIA from the start of its pilot phase and have effectively engaged in its implementation.

d) Sweden, like all of ECAC's 44 States, is fully committed to and involved in the fight against climate change and works towards a resource-efficient, competitive and sustainable multimodal transport system.

e) Sweden recognises the value of each State preparing and submitting to ICAO an updated State Action Plan for CO_2 emissions reductions as an important step towards the achievement of the global collective goals agreed since the 38th Session of the ICAO Assembly in 2013.

f) In that context, it is the intention that all ECAC States submit to ICAO an action plan[2]. This is the action plan of Sweden.

g) Sweden strongly supports the ICAO basket of measures as the key means to achieve ICAO's CNG2020 target and shares the view of all ECAC States that a comprehensive approach to reducing aviation CO_2 emissions is necessary, and that this should include:

i. emission reductions at source, including European support to CAEP work in this matter (standard setting process);

ii. research and development on emission reductions technologies, including public-private partnerships;

iii. development and deployment of sustainable aviation fuels, including research and operational initiatives undertaken jointly with stakeholders;

iv. improvement and optimisation of Air Traffic Management and infrastructure use within Europe, in particular through the Single European Sky ATM Research (SESAR), and also beyond European borders through participation in international cooperation initiatives;

---

[1] Albania, Armenia, Austria, Azerbaijan, Belgium, Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bulgaria, Croatia, Cyprus, Czech Republic, Denmark, Estonia, Finland, France, Georgia, Germany, Greece, Hungary, Iceland, Ireland, Italy, Latvia, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malta, Moldova, Monaco, Montenegro, Netherlands, North Macedonia, Norway, Poland, Portugal, Romania, San Marino, Serbia, Slovakia, Slovenia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, Turkey, Ukraine, and the United Kingdom.

[2] ICAO Assembly Resolution A40-18 also encourages States to submit an annual reporting of international aviation CO2 emissions, which is a task different in nature and purpose to that of action plans, strategic in their nature. Also this requirement is subject to different deadlines for submission and updates as annual updates are expected. For that reason, the reporting to ICAO of international aviation CO2 emissions referred to in paragraphs 10 & 14 of ICAO Resolution A40-18 is not necessarily part of this Action Plan, and may be provided separately, as part of routine provision of data to ICAO, or in future updates of this action plan.

and

v. Market Based Measures, which allow the sector to continue to grow in a sustainable and efficient manner, recognizing that the measures at (i) to (iv) above cannot, even in aggregate, deliver in time the emissions reductions necessary to meet the ICAO 2020 CNG global goal.

h) In Europe, many of the actions which are undertaken within the framework of this comprehensive approach are in practice taken collectively, most of them led by the European Union. They are reported in Section 1 of this Action Plan, where the involvement of Sweden is described, as well as that of other stakeholders.

i) In Sweden a number of actions are undertaken at the national level, including those by stakeholders. These national actions are reported in Section 2 of this Plan.

j) In relation to European actions, it is important to note that:

- The extent of participation will vary from one State to another, reflecting the priorities and circumstances of each State (economic situation, size of its aviation market, historical and institutional context, such as EU/non EU). The ECAC States are thus involved in different degrees and on different timelines in the delivery of these common actions. When an additional State joins a collective action, including at a later stage, this broadens the effect of the measure, thus increasing the European contribution to meeting the global goals.
- Acting together, the ECAC States have undertaken measures to reduce the region's emissions through a comprehensive approach. Some of the measures, although implemented by some, but not all of ECAC's 44 States, nonetheless yield emission reduction benefits across the whole of the region (for example research, SAF promotion or ETS).

1.1 Introduction – Current State of Aviation in Sweden

Sweden is located in the north of Europe and is the third largest country in the EU, and the fifth in Europe. The total area is 450 000 km^2 and more than half of Sweden´s area consists of forests, 10 % of mountains and approximately 8 % is cultivated land, lakes and rivers. The longest distance from the most northern point to the most south is 1 574 km and the longest east-west distance is 499 km.[3] Sweden has 10.3 million inhabitants, where 23 % of the population in Sweden are younger than 20 years old and about 20 % have passed the retirement age of 65. About 2 million have family and relatives in another country, which affects the proportion of travel. Sweden has a population density of a little more than 25 inhabitants per square kilometers with the population mostly concentrated to the southern half of the country. Approximately 87 % of the Swedish population live in urban areas.[4]

Airports in Sweden

Today, there are 45 instrument flight rules (IFR) aerodromes in Sweden, and 38 of these are operated with commercial air traffic. Of the 38 with commercial air traffic there are 25 owned by municipalities, 8 are state-owned (by Swedavia) and 5 have other ownership structures. Swedavia AB[5] was established in 2010 as a state owned company for airport operations.[6]

[3] www.sweden.se
[4] http://www.scb.se/
[5] www.swedavia.se/
[6] Swedavia owns, operates and develops a network of Swedish airports: : 1) Stockholm Arlanda Airport, 2) Göteborg Landvetter Airport, 3) Malmö Airport, 4) Bromma Stockholm Airport, 5) Luleå Airport, 6) Umeå Airport, 7) Åre Östersund Airport, 8) Visby Airport, 9) Ronneby Airport, 10) Kiruna Airport. Swedavia owns the ten airports, with the exception that Ronneby Airport and Luleå Airport are partly owned by the Swedish Air Forces but Swedavia is responsible for commercial air traffic.



Figure 1. Swedish Airports 2021.

Top 10 airports regarding passengers

The largest aerodromes based upon departing and arriving passengers can be seen in figure 2 and 3. Approximately 25, 6 million passengers travelled to or from Stockholm/Arlanda in 2019 and approximately 6, 6 million to or from the second largest airport Göteborg/Landvetter. Eight of the airports among the "top 10 airports" are owned by Swedavia except Stockholm/Skavsta, which is privately owned and Ängelholm, which is owned by the municipality.



Airport	2018	2019
Stockholm/Arlanda	26 847 499	25 643 222
Göteborg/Landvetter	6 809 067	6 671 478
Stockholm/Bromma	2 507 731	2 359 558
Stockholm/Skavsta	2 214 094	2 296 448
Malmö	2 148 826	1 976 253
Luleå	1 201 694	1 162 506
Umeå	1 031 764	960 307
Åre Östersund	512 342	473 816
Visby	468 096	447 138
Ängelholm	403 490	386 971

Figure 2 and 3. Number of scheduled and non-scheduled passengers at the top 10 airports 2018 and 2019.

Top 10 airports regarding movements

The top 10 airports in terms of movements can be seen in figure 3 and 4. At Stockholm/Arlanda, almost 250 000 movements were registered and at Göteborg/Landvetter almost 70 000 movements were registered in 2019. Among the top 10 airports in relation to movements, seven are owned by Swedavia, one is a private airport (Stockholm/Skavsta) and two are municipal airports (Stockholm/Västerås and Kalmar).



Airport	2018	2019
Stockholm/Arlanda	243 697	233 066
Göteborg/Landvetter	72 646	69 362
Stockholm/Bromma	59 431	55 681
Malmö	40 134	37 354
Stockholm/Västerås	39 744	27 944
Stockholm/Skavsta	26 397	25 476
Umeå	23 229	20 296
Luleå	20 934	18 858
Visby	17 321	16 772
Kalmar	11 667	12 657

Figure 3 and 4. Number of movements at the top 10 airports 2018 and 2019.

Airport´s market shares 2019

The distribution of the airport's market shares in 2019 can be seen in figure 5. Most passengers arrived or departed at Stockholm/Arlanda (57, 1 %), Göteborg/Landvetter (14, 9 %) and Stockholm/Bromma (5,3%). 96% of all passengers arrived or departed at the Swedavia airports in 2019.



Figure 5. Airport's market shares 2019.

Air Navigation Services

The Swedish Civil Aviation Administration (LFV) is a state enterprise under the Ministry of Enterprise and Innovation, guiding commercial and military aircrafts during take offs, landings and in the air. The work is conducted at 16 airports from the air traffic control towers, and four control centers. LFV also develops new services and operational concepts to meet the increased demands for capacity, availability and sustainability. They are also involved in developing the European airspace through collaboration in organizations and alliances. LFV has 1,100 employees and is headquartered in the city of Norrköping.

Passengers departed

In 2019, almost 22, 5 million passengers departed from Swedish airports. Departing passengers decreased by about 5% between 2018 and 2019. The vast majority of the decrease came from domestic departure passengers.



Figure 6. Number of international and domestic departing passengers in scheduled and non-scheduled traffic at Swedish airports 2005-2019.

Movements

In 2019, 404 365 IFR-movements (international and domestic) were recorded in Swedish airspace. Number of international and domestic IFR-movements at Swedish airports decreased by about 6% between 2018 and 2019. Overflights amount to about 47% of the movements 2019, a growth of about 3% compared to the proportion in 2018, see figure 7.



Figure 7. Number of international and domestic IFR-movements at Swedish airports 2005-2019.

Freight and mail

In total, about 154 000 tonnes freight and mail arrived or departed at the Swedish airports in 2019. This is a decrease of 3% compared to 2018. In 2019, the mail decreased about 39% compared to 2018, see figure 8.



Figure 8. Freight and mail in tonnes loaded and unloaded at Swedish airports 2005-2019.

Air operators /Aircrafts - operating licenses

Operating licenses are categorized in category A and B. Category A includes aircraft carriers with aircraft maximum take-off weight of 10 tonnes or more and/or 20 seats or more. Within category A there are 14 operating licenses granted in Sweden.

Aircraft carriers with aircraft with maximum take-off weight of less than 10 tonnes and/or less than 20 seats are included in category B. Within category B there are 17 operating licenses granted in Sweden. Among these are 4 corporations operating with airplanes, 12 operating with helicopters and one is operating with both airplanes and helicopters.

In 2018, Sweden had 3 035 Swedish registered aircraft (compared to 3 077 in 2013). Of these were 1 693 airworthy (1 795 in 2013).

Airlines operating in Sweden and market shares based on number of passengers

Concerning domestic air traffic, Scandinavian Airlines Systems (SAS), obtained about 50 % of the market shares in 2019, followed by Braathens Regional Airlines (BRA) (previous Malmö Aviation and Braathens Aviation) with about 30 % market share, and Norwegian with 18, 7 %, se figure 9.



Figure 9. Domestic market shares related to passengers, 2019.

For international air traffic, SAS obtained about 25% of the market shares in 2019, while Norwegian had 18% and Ryanair 8%, see figure 10.



Figure 10. International market shares related to passengers, 2019.

Emissions Data

The Swedish emissions data are taken from the official statistics on Statistics Sweden's (SCB) website.[7] Emissions of CO_2 from domestic aviation in Sweden have declined with 11% in 2019 compared to 2018. For flights from Sweden to the first destination in another country (in accordance with IPCC definition of International Flights) CO_2 emissions between 2018 and 2019 have declined with 6%, see figure 11.

Year	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019
Domestic Aviation	659	620	603	589	492	476	524	515	517	516	536	544	545	523	469
International Aviation	1927	1996	2187	2453	2083	2105	2269	2163	2237	2266	2366	2525	2753	2787	2644

Figure 11. CO_2 emissions (1000 metric ton) reported by Sweden.

[7] Utsläpp av växthusgaser från inrikes och utrikes transporter efter växthusgas, transportslag och år

Section 1: EU/ECAC COMMON SECTION FOR EUROPEAN STATE ACTION PLANS

1.2 Executive summary

The European section of this action plan presents a summary of the actions taken collectively throughout the 44 States of the European Civil Aviation Conference (ECAC) to reduce CO_2 emissions from the aviation system and which are relevant for each State, and provides an assessment of their benefit against an ECAC baseline. It also provides a description of future measures aimed to provide additional CO_2 savings.

Aviation is a fundamental sector for the European economy, and a very important means of connectivity, business development and leisure for European citizens and visitors. For over a century, Europe has promoted the development of new technology, and innovations to better meet societies' needs and concerns, including addressing the sectorial emissions affecting the climate.

Since 2019, the COVID-19 pandemic has generated a world-wide human tragedy, a global economic crisis and an unprecedented disruption of air traffic, significantly changing European aviation's growth and patterns and heavily impacting the aviation industry. The European air transport recovery policy is aiming at accelerating the achievement of European ambitions regarding aviation and climate change.



Aircraft related technology

European members have actively contributed to support progress in the ICAO Committee on Aviation Environmental Protection (CAEP). This contribution of resources, analytical capability and co-leadership has facilitated leaps in global certification standards that have helped drive the markets demand for technology improvements. Europe is now fully committed on the implementation of the 2017 ICAO CO_2 standard for newly built aircraft and on the need to review it on a regular basis in light of developments in aeroplane fuel efficiency.

Environmental improvements across the ECAC States are knowledge-led and at the forefront of this is the Clean Sky EU Joint Undertaking that aims to develop and mature breakthrough "clean technologies". The second joint undertaking (Clean Sky 2 – 2014-2024) has the objective to reduce aircraft emissions and noise by 20 to 30% with respect to the latest technologies entering into service in 2014. Under the Horizon Europe programme for research and innovation, the European Commission has proposed the set-up of a European Partnership for Clean Aviation (EPCA) which will follow in the footsteps of CleanSky2, recognizing and exploiting the interaction between environmental, social and competitiveness aspects of civil aviation, while maintaining sustainable economic growth. For such technology high end public-private partnerships to be successful, and thus, benefit from this and from future CO2 action plans, securing the appropriate funding is key.

The main efforts under Clean Sky 2 include demonstrating technologies: for both large and regional passenger aircraft, improved performance and versatility of new rotorcraft concepts, innovative airframe structures and materials, radical engine architectures, systems and controls and consideration of how we manage aircraft at the end of their useful life. This represents a rich stream of ideas and concepts that, with continued support, will mature and contribute to achieving the goals on limiting global climate change. The new European Partnership for Clean Aviation (EPCA) has objectives in line with the European Green Deal goals to reach climate neutrality in 2050 and will focus on the development of disruptive technologies and maximum impact.

Sustainable Aviation Fuels (SAF)

ECAC States are embracing the introduction of sustainable aviation fuels (SAF) in line with the 2050 ICAO Vision and are taking collective actions to address the many current barriers for SAF widespread availability or use in European airports.

The European collective SAF measures included in this Action Plan focuses on its CO_2 reductions benefits. Nevertheless SAF has the additional benefit of reducing air pollutant emissions of non-volatile Particulate Matter (nvPM), which can provide important other non-CO_2 benefits on the climate which are not specifically assessed within the scope of this Plan.

At European Union (EU) level, the ReFuelEU Aviation regulatory initiative aims to boost the supply and demand for SAF at EU airports, while maintaining a level playing field in the air transport market. This initiative is expected to result in a legislative proposal in the course of 2021. The common European section of this action plan also provides an overview of the current sustainability and life cycle emissions requirements applicable to SAF in the European Union's States as well as estimates of life cycle values for a number of technological pathways and feedstock.

Collective work has also been developed through EASA on addressing barriers of SAF penetration into the market.

The European Research and Innovation programme is moreover giving impulse to innovative technologies to overcome such barriers as it is highlighted by the number of recent European research projects put in place and planned to start in the short-term.

Improved Air Traffic Management

The European Union's Single European Sky (SES) policy aims to transform Air Traffic Management (ATM) in Europe towards digital service provision, increased capacity reduced ATM costs with high level of safety and 10% less environmental impact. SES policy has several elements, one of which is developing and deploying innovative technical and operational ATM solutions.

SESAR 1 (from 2008 to 2016), SESAR 2020 (started in 2016) and SESAR 3 (starting in 2022) are the EU programmes for the development of SESAR solutions. The SESAR solutions already developed and validated are capable of providing: 21% more airspace capacity; 14% more airport capacity; a 40% reduction in accident risk; 2.8% less greenhouse emissions; and a 6% reduction in flight costs. Future ATM systems will be based on 'Trajectory-based Operations' and 'Performance-based Operations'.

Much of the research to develop these solutions is underway and published results of the many earlier demonstration actions confirm the challenge but give us confidence that the goals will be achieved in the ECAC region with widespread potential to be replicated in other regions.

Market Based Measures (MBMs)

ECAC States, in application of their commitment in the 2016 Bratislava Declaration, have notified ICAO of their decision to voluntarily participate in Carbon Offsetting and Reduction Scheme for International Aviation (CORSIA) from its pilot phase, and have effectively engaged in its implementation and they encourage other States to do likewise and join CORSIA.

ECAC States have always been strong supporters of a market-based measure scheme for international aviation to incentivise and reward good investment and operational choices, and so welcomed the agreement on CORSIA.

The 30 European Economic Area (EEA)[8] States in Europe have implemented the EU Emissions Trading System (ETS), including the aviation sector with around 500 aircraft operators participating in the cap-and-trade approach to limit CO_2 emissions. Subject to preserving the environmental integrity and effectiveness it is expected that the EU ETS legislation will continue to be adapted to implement CORSIA.

As a consequence of the linking agreement with Switzerland, from 2020 the EU ETS was extended to all departing flights from the EEA to Switzerland, and Switzerland applies its ETS to all departing flights to EEA airports, ensuring a level playing field on both directions of routes.

In accordance with the EU-UK Trade and Cooperation Agreement reached in December 2020, the EU ETS shall continue to apply to departing flights from the EEA to the UK, while a UK ETS will apply effective carbon pricing on flights departing from the UK to the EEA.

In the period 2013 to 2020, EU ETS has saved an estimated 200 million tonnes of intra-European aviation CO_2 emissions.

ECAC Scenarios for Traffic and CO_2 Emissions

The scenarios presented in this common section of State Action Plans of ECAC States take into account the impacts of the COVID-19 crisis on air transport, to the extent possible, and with some unavoidable degree of uncertainty. The best-available data used for the purposes of this action plan has been taken from EUROCONTROL's regular publication of comprehensive assessments of the latest traffic situation in Europe.

Despite the current extraordinary global decay on passengers' traffic due to the COVID-19 pandemic, hitting European economy, tourism and the sector itself, aviation is expected to continue to grow in the long-term, develop and diversify in many ways across the ECAC States. Air cargo traffic has not been impacted as the rest of the traffic and thus, whilst the focus of available data relates to passenger traffic, similar pre-COVID forecasted outcomes might be anticipated for cargo traffic both as belly hold freight or in dedicated freighters.

The analysis by EUROCONTROL and EASA have identified the most likely scenario of influences on future traffic and modelled these assumptions out to future years. On the basis of this traffic forecast, fuel consumption and CO_2 emissions of aviation have been estimated for both a theoretical baseline scenario (without any additional mitigation action)

[8] The EEA includes EU countries and also Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway.

and a scenario with estimated benefits from mitigation measures implemented since 2019 or provided benefits beyond 2019 that are presented in this action plan.

Under the baseline assumptions of traffic growth and fleet rollover with 2019 technology, CO_2 emissions would significantly grow in the long-term for flights departing from ECAC airports without mitigation measures. Modelling the impact of improved aircraft technology for the scenario with implemented measures indicates an overall 15% reduction of fuel consumption and CO_2 emissions in 2050 compared to the baseline. Whilst the data to model the benefits of ATM improvements may be less robust, they are nevertheless valuable contributions to reduce emissions further. Overall CO_2 emissions, including the effects of new aircraft types and ATM-related measures, are projected to improve to lead to a 23% reduction in 2050 compared to the baseline.
In the common section of this action plan the potential of sustainable aviation fuels and the effects of market-based measures have not been simulated in detail. Notably, CORSIA being a global measure, and not a European measure, the assessments of its benefits were not considered required for the purposes of the State Action Plans. But they should both help reach the ICAO carbon-neutral growth 2020 goal. As further developments in policy and technology are made, further analysis will improve the modelling of future emissions.

ECAC BASELINE SCENARIO AND ESTIMATED BENEFITS OF IMPLEMENTED MEASURES

1.3 ECAC Baseline Scenario

The baseline scenario is intended to serve as a reference scenario for CO_2 emissions of European aviation in the absence of any of the mitigation actions described later in this document. The following sets of data (2010, 2019) and forecasts (for 2030, 2040 and 2050) were provided by EUROCONTROL for this purpose:

- European air traffic (includes all commercial and international flights departing from ECAC airports, in number of flights, revenue passenger kilometres (RPK) and revenue tonne-kilometres (RTK);
- its associated aggregated fuel consumption; and
- its associated CO_2 emissions.

The sets of forecasts correspond to projected traffic volumes in a scenario of "Regulation and Growth", while corresponding fuel consumption and CO_2 emissions assume the technology level of the year 2019 (i.e. without considering reductions of emissions by further aircraft related technology improvements, improved ATM and operations, sustainable aviation fuels or market based measures).

Traffic Scenario "Regulation and Growth"

As in all forecasts produced by EUROCONTROL, various scenarios are built with a specific storyline and a mix of characteristics. The aim is to improve the understanding of factors that will influence future traffic growth and the risks that lie ahead. The latest EUROCONTROL long-term forecast[9] was published in June 2018 and inspects traffic development in terms of Instrument Flight Rule (IFR) movements to 2040.

In the latter, the scenario called 'Regulation and Growth' is constructed as the 'most likely' or 'baseline' scenario for traffic, most closely following the current trends[10]. It considers a moderate economic growth, with some regulation particularly regarding the social and economic demands.

Amongst the models applied by EUROCONTROL for the forecast, the passenger traffic sub-model is the most developed and is structured around five main group of factors that are taken into account:

- **Global economy** factors represent the key economic developments driving the demand for air transport.
- Factors characterising the **passengers** and their travel preferences change patterns in travel demand and travel destinations.
- **Price of tickets** set by the airlines to cover their operating costs influences passengers' travel decisions and their choice of transport.
- More hub-and-spoke or point-to-point **networks** may alter the number of connections and flights needed to travel from origin to destination.
- **Market structure** describes size of aircraft used to satisfy the passenger demand (modelled via the Aircraft Assignment Tool).

Table 1 below presents a summary of the social, economic and air traffic related characteristics of three different scenarios developed by EUROCONTROL. The year 2016 served as the baseline year of the 20-year forecast results[9] (published in 2018 by EUROCONTROL). Historical data for the year 2019 are also shown later for reference.

[9] Challenges of Growth - Annex 1 - Flight Forecast to 2040, EUROCONTROL, September 2018.
[10] Prior to COVID-19 outbreak.

Table 1. Summary characteristics of EUROCONTROL scenarios.

	Global Growth	***Regulation and Growth***	***Fragmenting World***
2023 traffic growth	High ↗	Base →	Low ↘
Passenger			
Demographics (Population)	Ageing UN Medium-fertility variant	Ageing UN Medium-fertility variant	Ageing UN Zero-migration variant
Routes and Destinations	Long-haul ↗	No Change →	Long-haul ↘
Open Skies	EU enlargement later +Far & Middle East	EU enlargement Earliest	EU enlargement Latest
High-speed rail (new & improved connections)	20 city-pairs faster implementation	20 city-pairs	20 city-pairs later implementation.
Economic conditions			
GDP growth	Stronger ↗	Moderate →	Weaker ↘↘
EU Enlargement	+5 States, Later	+5 States, Earliest	+5 States, Latest
Free Trade	Global, faster	Limited, later	None
Price of travel			
Operating cost	Decreasing ↘↘	Decreasing ↘	No change →
Price of CO_2 in Emission Trading Scheme	Moderate	Lowest	Highest
Price of oil/barrel	Low	Lowest	High
Change in other charges	Noise: ↗ Security: ↘	Noise: ↗ Security: →	Noise: → Security: ↗
Structure			
Network	Hubs: Mid-East ↗↗ Europe ↘ Turkey↗ Point-to-point: N-Atlantic.↗↗	Hubs: Mid-East ↗↗ Europe & Turkey ↗ Point-to-point: N-Atlantic.↗	No change →
Market Structure	Industry fleet forecast + STATFOR assumptions	Industry fleet forecast + STATFOR assumptions	Industry fleet forecast + STATFOR assumptions

COVID-19 impact and extension to 2050

Since the start of 2020, COVID-19 has gone from a localised outbreak in China to the most severe global pandemic in a century. No part of European aviation is untouched by the human tragedy or the business crisis. This unprecedented crisis hindered air traffic growth in 2020: flight movements declined by 55% compared to 2019 at ECAC level. It continues to disrupt the traffic growth and patterns in Europe in 2021. In Autumn 2020, EUROCONTROL published a medium-term forecast[11] to 2024, taking into account the impact of the COVID-19 outbreak. The latter is based on three different scenarios depending on how soon an effective vaccine would be made widely available to (air) travellers. Other factors have been included amongst which the economic impact of the crisis or levels of public confidence, to name a few. The Scenario 2: vaccine widely made available for travellers by Summer 2022, considered as the most likely, sees ECAC flights only reaching 92% of their 2019 levels in 2024.

In order to take into account the COVID-19 impact and to extend the horizon to 2050, the following adaptations have been brought to the original long-term forecast[9]. Considering the most-likely scenarios of the long-term forecast[9] and the medium-term forecasted version of the long-term flight forecast has been derived:

a) Replace the long-term forecast[9] horizon by the most recent medium-term forecast[11] to account for COVID impact;

b) Update the rest of the horizon (2025-2040) assuming that the original growth rates of the long-term forecast[9], would remain similar to those calculated pre-COVID-19; and

c) Extrapolate the final years (2040-2050) considering the same average annual growth rates as the one forecasted for the 2035-2040 period, but with a 0.9 decay[12].

The method used relies on the calculation of adjustment factors at STATFOR[13] region-pair level and have been applied to the original long-term forecast[9]. Adjusting the baseline enables to further elaborate the baseline scenario as forecasted future fuel consumption and to 2030, 2040 and 2050, in the absence of action.

Figure 12 below shows the ECAC scenario of the passenger flight forecasted international departures for both historical (solid line) and future (dashed line) years.

[11] Five-Year Forecast 2020-2024, IFR Movements, EUROCONTROL, November 2020.

[12] As the number of flights has not been directly forecasted via the system but numerically extrapolated, it does not include any fleet renewal, neither network change (airport pairs) between 2040 and 2050. This factor is aimed at adjusting the extrapolation to capture the gradual maturity of the market.

[13] STATFOR (Statistics and Forecast Service) provides statistics and forecasts on air traffic in Europe and to monitor and analyse the evolution of the Air Transport Industry.

Figure 12. Updated EUROCONTROL “Regulation and Growth” scenario of the passenger flight forecast for ECAC international departures including the COVID-19 impact in 2020 and the following 4 years.



Further assumptions and results for the baseline scenario

The ECAC baseline scenario was generated by EUROCONTROL for all ECAC States. It covers all commercial international passenger flights departing[14] from ECAC airports, as forecasted in the aforementioned traffic scenario. The number of passengers per flight is derived from Eurostat data.

EUROCONTROL also generates a number of all-cargo flights in its baseline scenario. However, no information about the freight tonnes carried is available. Hence, historical and forecasted cargo traffic have been extracted from another source (ICAO[15]). This data, which is presented below, includes both belly cargo transported on passenger flights and freight transported on dedicated all-cargo flights.

Historical fuel burn and emission calculations are based on the actual flight plans from the PRISME[16] data warehouse used by EUROCONTROL, including the actual flight distance and the cruise altitude by airport pair. These calculations were made for about 99% of the passenger flights (the remaining flights had information missing in the flight plans). Determination of the fuel burn and CO_2 emissions for historical years is built up as the aggregation of fuel burn and emissions for each aircraft of the associated traffic sample characteristics. Fuel burn and CO_2 emission results consider each aircraft’s fuel burn in its ground and airborne phases of flight and are obtained by use of the EUROCONTROL IMPACT environmental model, with the aircraft technology level of each year.

Forecast years (until 2050) fuel burn and modelling calculations use the 2019 flight plan characteristics as much as possible, to replicate actual flown distances and cruise levels, by airport pairs and aircraft types. When not possible, this modelling approach uses past years traffics too, and, if needed, the ICAO CAEP forecast modelling. The forecast fuel burn and CO_2 emissions of the baseline scenario for forecast years uses the technology level of 2019.

For each reported year, the revenue per passenger kilometre (RPK) calculations use the number of passengers carried for each airport pair multiplied by the great circle distance between the associated airports and expressed in kilometres. Because of the coverage of the passenger estimation data sets (Scheduled, Low-cost, Non-Scheduled flights, available passenger information, etc.) these results are determined for about 99% of the historical passenger traffic, and 97% of the passenger flight forecasts. From the RPK values, the

[14] International departures only. Domestic flights are excluded. A domestic is any flight between two airports in the State, regardless of the operator or which airspaces they enter en-route. Airports located overseas are attached to the State having the sovereignty of the territory. For example, France domestic include flights to Guadeloupe, Martinique, etc.

[15] ICAO Long-Term Traffic Forecasts, Passenger and Cargo, July 2016. Cargo forecasts have not been updated as new ICAO forecast including COVID-19 effects will be made available after the end of June 2021, so those cannot be considered in this action plan common section.

[16] PRISME is the name of the EUROCONTROL data warehouse hosting the flight plans, fleet and airframe data.

passenger flights RTK were calculated as the number of tonnes carried by kilometers, assuming that 1 passenger corresponds to 0.1 tonne.
The fuel efficiency represents the amount of fuel burn divided by the RPK for each available airport pair with passenger data, for the passenger traffic only. Here, the RPK and fuel efficiency results corresponds to the aggregation of these values for the whole concerned traffic years.

The following tables and figures show the results for this baseline scenario, which is intended to serve as a reference case by approximating fuel consumption and CO_2 emissions of European aviation in the absence of mitigation actions.

Table 2. Baseline forecast for international traffic departing from ECAC airports

Year	Passenger Traffic (IFR movements) (million)	Revenue Passenger Kilometres[17] RPK (billion)	All-Cargo Traffic (IFR movements) (million)	Freight Tonne Kilometres transported[18] FTKT (billion)	Total Revenue Tonne Kilometres[19] RTK (billion)
2010	4.56	1,114	0.198	45.4	156.8
2019	5.95	1,856	0.203	49.0	234.6
2030	5.98	1,993	0.348	63.8	263.1
2040	7.22	2,446	0.450	79.4	324.0
2050	8.07	2,745	0.572	101.6	376.1

Table 3. Fuel burn and CO_2 emissions forecast for the baseline scenario

Year	Fuel Consumption (10^9 kg)	CO_2 emissions (10^9 kg)	Fuel efficiency (kg/RPK[17])	Fuel efficiency (kg/RTK[19])
2010	36.95	116.78	0.0332	0.332
2019	52.01	164.35	0.0280	0.280
2030	50.72	160.29	0.0252	0.252
2040	62.38	197.13	0.0252	0.252
2050	69.42	219.35	0.0250	0.250
For reasons of data availability, results shown in this table do not include cargo/freight traffic.				

Figure 13. Forecasted traffic until 2050 (assumed both for the baseline and implemented measures scenarios).

[17] Calculated on the basis of Great Circle Distance (GCD) between airports, for 97% of the passenger traffic for forecast years.

[18] Includes passenger and freight transport (on all-cargo and passenger flights).

[19] A value of 100 kg has been used as the average mass of a passenger incl. baggage (ref: ICAO).



The impact of the COVID-19 in 2020 is not fully reflected in Figure 13, as this representation is oversimplified through a straight line between 2019 and 2030. The same remark applies for Figure 14 and Figure 15.

Figure 14. Fuel consumption forecast for the baseline and implemented measures scenarios (international passenger flights departing from ECAC airports).



2. ECAC Scenario with Implemented Measures: Estimated Benefits

In order to improve the fuel efficiency and to reduce future air traffic emissions beyond the projections in the baseline scenario, ECAC States have taken further action. Assumptions for a top-down assessment of effects of mitigation actions are presented here, based on modelling results by EUROCONTROL and EASA. Measures to reduce aviation's fuel consumption and emissions will be described in the following chapters.

For reasons of simplicity, the scenario with implemented measures is based on the same traffic volumes as the baseline case, i.e. updated EUROCONTROL's 'Regulation and Growth' scenario described earlier. Unlike in the baseline scenario, the effects of aircraft related technology development and improvements in ATM/operations are considered here for a projection of fuel consumption and CO_2 emissions up to the year 2050.

Effects of **improved aircraft technology** are captured by simulating fleet roll-over and considering the fuel efficiency improvements of new aircraft types of the latest generation (e.g. Airbus A320NEO, Boeing 737MAX, Airbus A350XWB etc.). The simulated future fleet of aircraft has been generated using the Aircraft Assignment Tool[20] (AAT) developed collaboratively by EUROCONTROL, EASA and the European Commission. The retirement process of AAT is performed year by year, allowing the determination of the number of new aircraft required each year. In addition to the fleet rollover, a constant annual improvement of fuel efficiency of 1.16% per annum is assumed for each aircraft type with entry into service from 2020 onwards. This rate of improvement corresponds to the 'Advanced' fuel technology scenario used by CAEP to generate the fuel trends for the Assembly. This technology improvement modelling is applied to the years 2030 and 2040. For the year 2050, as the forecast traffic reuses exactly the fleet of the year 2040, the technological improvement is determined with the extrapolation of the fuel burn ratio between the baseline scenario and the technological improvement scenario results of the years 2030 to 2040.

The effects of **improved ATM efficiency** are captured in the Implemented Measures Scenario on the basis of efficiency analyses from the SESAR project. In SESAR, a value of 5,280 kg of fuel per flight for ECAC (including oceanic region) is used as a baseline[21]. Based on the information provided by the PAGAR 2019 document[22], and compared to a 2012 baseline, the benefits at the end of Wave 1 could be about 3% CO_2/fuel savings achieved by 2025 equivalent to 147.4 kg of fuel/flight. So far, the target for Wave 2 remains at about 7% more CO_2/fuel savings (352.6 kg of fuel) to reach the initial Ambition target of about 10% CO_2/fuel savings (500 kg fuel) per flight by 2035. The 2030 efficiency improvement is calculated by assuming a linear evolution between 2025 and 2035. As beyond 2035, there is no SESAR Ambition yet, it is assumed that the ATM efficiency improvements are reported extensively for years 2040 and 2050.

The as yet un-estimated benefits of Exploratory Research projects[23] are expected to increase the overall future fuel savings.

While the effects of **introduction of Sustainable Aviation Fuels (SAF)** were modelled in previous updates on the basis of the European ACARE goals[24], the expected SAF supply objectives for 2020 were not met, and in the current update the SAF benefits have not been modelled as a European common measure in the implemented measures scenario. However, numerous initiatives related to SAF (e.g. ReFuelEU Aviation) are largely described in Section B chapter 2 and it is expected that future updates will include an assessment of its benefits as a collective measure.

Effects on aviation's CO_2 emissions of **market-based measures** including the EU Emissions Trading System (ETS) with the linked Swiss ETS, the UK ETS and the ICAO's Carbon Offsetting and Reduction Scheme for International Aviation (CORSIA) have not been modelled in the top-down assessment of the implemented measures scenario

[20] https://www.easa.europa.eu/domains/environment/impact-assessment-tools
[21] See SESAR ATM Master Plan – Edition 2020 (www.atmmasterplan.eu) - eATM.
[22] See SESAR Performance Assessment Gap Analysis Report (PAGAR) updated version of 2019 v00.01.04, 31-03-2021.
[23] See SESAR Exploratory Research projects - https://www.sesarju.eu/exploratoryresearch
[24] https://www.acare4europe.org/sria/flightpath-2050-goals/protecting-environment-and-energy-supply-0

presented here as, at the time of the submission of this action plan, a legislative proposal for the revision of the EU ETS Directive concerning aviation, is under development to complete the implementation of CORSIA by the EU and to strengthen the ambition level of the EU ETS. CORSIA is not considered a European measure but a global one. It aims for carbon-neutral growth (CNG) of aviation as compared to the average of 2019 and 2020 levels of emissions in participating States, and an indication of a corresponding (hypothetical) target applied to Europe is shown in Figure 15[25], while recalling that this is just a reference level, given that CORSIA was designed to contribute to the CNG 2020 globally and not in individual States or regions.

Tables 4-6 and Figure 15 summarise the results for the scenario with implemented measures. It should be noted that **Table 4** show direct combustion emissions of CO_2 (assuming 3.16 kg CO_2 per kg fuel). More detailed tabulated results are found in Appendix A, including results expressed in equivalent CO_2 emissions on a well-to-wake basis (for comparison purposes of SAF benefits).

Table 4. Fuel burn and CO_2 emissions forecast for the Implemented Measures Scenario (new aircraft technology and ATM improvements only).

Year	Fuel Consumption (10^9 kg)	CO_2 emissions (10^9 kg)	Fuel efficiency (kg/RPK[26])	Fuel efficiency (kg/RTK[17])
2010	36.95	116.78	0.0332	0.332
2019	52.01	164.35	0.0280	0.280
2030	46.16	145.86	0.0229	0.229
2040	51.06	161.35	0.0206	0.206
2050	53.18	168.05	0.0192	0.192
2050 vs 2019			*-32%*	
For reasons of data availability, results shown in this table do not include cargo/freight traffic.				

Table 5. Average annual fuel efficiency improvement for the Implemented Measures Scenario (new aircraft technology and ATM improvements only)

Period	Average annual fuel efficiency improvement (%)
2010-2019	-1.86%
2019-2030	-1.82%
2030-2040	-1.03%
2040-2050	-0.74%

Table 6. CO_2 emissions forecast for the scenarios described in this chapter.

<table>
<tr><th rowspan="3">Year</th><th colspan="3">CO₂ emissions (10⁹ kg)</th><th rowspan="3">% improvement by Implemented Measures (full scope)</th></tr>
<tr><th rowspan="2">Baseline Scenario</th><th colspan="2">Implemented Measures Scenario</th></tr>
<tr><th>Aircraft techn. improvements only</th><th>Aircraft techn. and ATM improvements</th></tr>
<tr><td>2010</td><td colspan="3">116,78</td><td>NA</td></tr>
</table>

[25] Note that in a strict sense the CORSIA target of CNG is aimed to be achieved globally (and hence not necessarily in each world region).
[26] Calculated on the basis of Great Circle Distance (GCD) between airports, for 97% of the passenger traffic for forecast years.

2019	164,35			NA
2030	160,3	156,0	145,9	-9%
2040	197,1	179,3	161,4	-18%
2050	219,4	186,7	168,0	-23%
For reasons of data availability, results shown in this table do not include cargo/freight traffic. Note that fuel consumption is assumed to be unaffected by the use of sustainable aviation fuels.				

Figure 15. Fuel consumption forecast for the baseline and implemented measures scenarios.



As shown in Figure 15, the impact of improved aircraft technology indicates an overall 15% reduction of fuel consumption and CO_2 emissions in 2050 compared to the baseline scenario. Overall CO_2 emissions, including the effects of new aircraft types and ATM-related measures, are projected to improve to lead to a 23% reduction in 2050 compared to the baseline.

From Table 4, under the currently assumed aircraft technology and ATM improvement scenarios, the fuel efficiency is projected to lead to a 32% reduction from 2019 to 2050. Indeed, the annual rate of fuel efficiency improvement is expected to progressively slow down from a rate of 1.82% between 2019 and 2030 to a rate of 0.74% between 2040 and 2050. Aircraft technology and ATM improvements alone will not be sufficient to meet the post-2020 carbon neutral growth objective of ICAO. This confirms that additional action, particularly market-based measures and SAF, are required to fill the gap. There are among the ECAC Member States additional ambitious climate strategies where carbon neutrality by 2050 is set as the overall objective. The aviation sector will have to contribute to this objective.

1.4 Actions taken collectively in Europe

1. **TECHNOLOGY AND STANDARDS**
   - 1.1. Aircraft emissions standards
   - 1.2. Research and development: Clean Sky and the European Partnership for Clean Aviation
2. **SUSTAINABLE AVIATION FUELS (SAF)**
   - 2.1. ReFuelEU Aviation Initiative
   - 2.2. Addressing barriers of SAF penetration into the market
   - 2.3. Standards and requirements for SAF use
   - 2.4. Research and development projects
3. **OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS**
   - 3.1. The EU's Single European Sky Initiative and SESAR
4. **MARKET-BASED MEASURES**
   - 4.1. The EU Emissions Trading System and its linkages with other systems (Swiss ETS and UK ETS)
   - 4.2. The Carbon Offsetting and Reduction Scheme for International Aviation
5. **ADDITIONAL MEASURES**
   - 5.1. ACI Airport Carbon Accreditation
   - 5.2. European industry roadmap to a net zero European aviation: Destination 2050
   - 5.3. Environmental Label Programme
   - 5.4. Multilateral capacity building projects
   - 5.5. Green Airports research and innovation projects
6. **SUPPLEMENTAL BENEFITS FOR DOMESTIC SECTORS**
   - 6.1. ACI Airport Carbon Accreditation
   - 6.2. ReFuelEU Aviation Initiative
   - 6.3. SAF Research and development projects
   - 6.4. The EU's Single European Sky Initiative and SESAR
   - 6.5. Green Airports research and innovation projects

1. TECHNOLOGY AND STANDARDS

1.1 Aircraft emissions standards

European Member States fully support ICAO’s Committee on Aviation Environmental Protection (CAEP) work on the development and update of aircraft emissions standards, in particular to the **ICAO Aircraft CO_2 Standard** adopted by ICAO in 2017. Europe significantly contributed to its development, notably through the European Aviation Safety Agency (EASA). It is fully committed to its implementation in Europe and the need to review the standard on a regular basis in light of developments in aeroplane fuel efficiency. EASA has supported the process to integrate this standard into European legislation (2018/1139) with an applicability date of 1 January 2020 for new aeroplane types.

ASSESSMENT

This is a European contribution to a global measure (CO_2 standard). Its contribution to the global aspirational goals are available in CAEP.

1.2 Research and development

1.2.1 Clean Sky

Clean Sky[27] is an EU Joint Undertaking that aims to develop and mature breakthrough "clean technologies" for air transport globally. Joint Undertakings are Public Private Partnership set up by the European Union on the EU research programmes. By accelerating their deployment, the Joint Undertaking will contribute to Europe's strategic environmental and social priorities, and simultaneously promote competitiveness and sustainable economic growth. The first Clean Sky Joint Undertaking (**Clean Sky 1 -** 2011-2017) had a budget of €1.6 billion, equally shared between the European Commission and the aeronautics industry. It aimed to develop environmental-friendly technologies impacting all flying-segments of commercial aviation. The objectives were to reduce aircraft CO_2 emissions by 20-40%, NO_x by around 60% and noise by up to 10dB compared to year 2000 aircraft.

This was followed up with a second Joint Undertaking (**Clean Sky 2** – 2014-2024) with the objective to reduce aircraft emissions and noise by 20 to 30% with respect to the latest technologies entering into service in 2014. The current budget for the programme is approximately €4 billion.

The two Interim Evaluations of Clean Sky in 2011 and 2013 acknowledged that the programme is successfully stimulating developments towards environmental targets. These preliminary assessments confirm the capability of achieving the overall targets at completion of the programme.

Main remaining areas for Research and Technological Development (RTD) efforts under Clean Sky 2 were:

- **Large Passenger Aircraft**: demonstration of best technologies to achieve the environmental goals whilst fulfilling future market needs and improving the competitiveness of future products.
- **Regional Aircraft:** demonstrating and validating key technologies that will enable a 90-seat class turboprop aircraft to deliver breakthrough economic and environmental performance and a superior passenger experience.
- **Fast Rotorcraft:** demonstrating new rotorcraft concepts (tilt-rotor and compound helicopters) technologies to deliver superior vehicle versatility and performance.
- **Airframe:** demonstrating the benefits of advanced and innovative airframe structures (like a more efficient wing with natural laminar flow, optimised control surfaces, control systems and embedded systems, highly integrated in metallic and advanced composites structures). In addition, novel engine integration strategies and innovative fuselage structures will be investigated and tested.
- **Engines:** validating advanced and more radical engine architectures.
- **Systems:** demonstrating the advantages of applying new technologies in major areas such as power management, cockpit, wing, landing gear, to address the needs of a future generation of aircraft in terms of maturation, demonstration and Innovation.
- **Small Air Transport:** demonstrating the advantages of applying key technologies on small aircraft demonstrators to revitalise an important segment of the aeronautics sector that can bring new key mobility solutions.

[27] http://www.cleansky.eu/

- **Eco-Design:** coordinating research geared towards high eco-compliance in air vehicles over their product life and heightening the stewardship with intelligent Re-use, Recycling and advanced services.

In addition, the **Clean Sky Technology Evaluator**[28] will continue to be upgraded to assess technological progress routinely and evaluate the performance potential of Clean Sky 2 technologies at both vehicle and aggregate levels (airports and air traffic systems).

1.2.1 Disruptive aircraft technological innovations: European Partnership for Clean Aviation

With the Horizon 2020 programme coming to a close in 2020, the Commission has adopted a proposal to set up a new Joint Undertaking under the Horizon Europe programme (2021-2027). The **European Partnership for Clean Aviation (EPCA)**[29] will follow in the footsteps of CleanSky2. The EU contribution proposed is again €1.7 billion. The stakeholder community has already formulated a Strategic Research and Innovation Agenda (SRIA), which is intended to serve as a basis of the partnership once established. Subject to the final provisions of the partnership and the EU budget allocation, industry stakeholders have proposed a commitment of €3 billion from the private side.

General objectives of EPCA:

(a) To contribute to reduce the ecological footprint of aviation by accelerating the development of climate neutral aviation technologies for earliest possible deployment, therefore significantly contributing to the achievement of the general goals of the European Green Deal, in particular in relation to the reduction of Union-wide net greenhouse gas emissions reduction target of at least 55% by 2030, compared to 1990 levels and a pathway towards reaching climate neutrality by 2050.

(b) To ensure that aeronautics-related research and innovation activities contribute to the global sustainable competitiveness of the Union aviation industry, and to ensure that climate-neutral aviation technologies meet the relevant aviation safety requirements, and remains a secure, reliable, cost-effective, and efficient means of passenger and freight transportation.

Specific objectives:

(a) To integrate and demonstrate disruptive aircraft technological innovations able to decrease net emissions of greenhouse gasses by no less than 30% by 2030, compared to 2020 state-of-the-art technology while paving the ground towards climate-neutral aviation by 2050.

(b) To ensure that the technological and the potential industrial readiness of innovations can support the launch of disruptive new products and services by 2035, with the aim of replacing 75% of the operating fleet by 2050 and developing an innovative, reliable, safe and cost-effective European aviation system that is able to meet the objective of climate neutrality by 2050.

(c) To expand and foster integration of the climate-neutral aviation research and innovations value chains, including academia, research organisations, industry, and SMEs, also by benefitting from exploiting synergies with other national and European related programmes.

[28] https://www.cleansky.eu/technology-evaluator-te
[29] https://clean-aviation.eu/

ASSESSMENT

The quantitative assessment of the technology improvement scenario from 2020 to 2050 has been calculated by EUROCONTROL and EASA and it is included in Section A above (ECAC Baseline Scenario and Estimated Benefits of Implemented Measures) and in Appendix A.

Table 7 Fuel consumption and CO_2 emissions of international passenger traffic departing from ECAC airports, with aircraft technology improvements after 2019 included:

Year	Fuel Consumption (10^9 kg)	CO_2 emissions (10^9 kg)	Well-to-wake CO_2e emissions (10^9 kg)	Fuel efficiency (kg/RPK)	Fuel efficiency (kg/RTK)
2010	36.95	116.78	143.38	0.0332	0.332
2019	52.01	164.35	201.80	0.0280	0.280
2030	49.37	156.00	191.54	0.0232	0.232
2040	56.74	179.28	220.13	0.0217	0.217
2050	59.09	186.72	229.26	0.0202	0.202
For reasons of data availability, results shown in this table do not include cargo/freight traffic.					

Table 8 Average annual fuel efficiency improvement for the Implemented Measures Scenario (new aircraft technology only):

Period	Average annual fuel efficiency improvement (%)
2010-2019	-1.86%
2019-2030	-1.22%
2030-2040	-0.65%
2040-2050	-0.74%

2. SUSTAINABLE AVIATION FUELS

Sustainable aviation fuels (SAF) including advanced biofuels and synthetic fuels, have the potential to significantly reduce aircraft emissions and ECAC States are embracing their large-scale introduction in line with the 2050 ICAO Vision.

The European collective SAF measures included in this Action Plan focuses on its CO_2 reductions benefits. Nevertheless SAF has the additional benefit of reducing air pollutant emissions of non-volatile Particulate Matter (nvPM) with up to 90% and sulphur (SOX) with 100%, compared to fossil jet fuel[30]. As a result, the large-scale use of SAF can have important other non-CO_2 benefits on the climate which are not specifically assessed within the scope of this Plan.

2.1 ReFuelEU Aviation Initiative

On 15 January 2020, the European Parliament adopted a resolution on the European Green Deal in which it welcomed the upcoming strategy for sustainable and smart mobility and agreed with the European Commission that all modes of transport will have to contribute to the decarbonisation of the transport sector in line with the objective of reaching a climate-neutral economy. The European Parliament also called for "*a clear regulatory roadmap for the decarbonisation of aviation, based on technological solutions, infrastructure, requirements for sustainable alternative fuels and efficient operations, in combination with incentives for a modal shift*".

The Commission's work programme for 2020 listed under the policy objective on Sustainable and smart mobility, a new legislative initiative entitled "*ReFuelEU Aviation – Sustainable Aviation Fuels*".

This initiative aims to boost the supply and demand for sustainable aviation fuels (SAF) in the EU including not only advanced biofules but also synthetic fuels. This in turn will reduce aviation's environmental footprint and enable it to help achieve the EU's climate targets.

[30] ICAO 2016 Environmental Report, Chapter 4, Page 162, Figure 4.

The EU aviation internal market is a key enabler of connectivity and growth but is also accountable for significant environmental impact. In line with the EU's climate goals to reduce emissions by 55% by 2030 and to achieve carbon neutrality by 2050, the aviation sector needs to decarbonise.

While several policy measures are in place, significant potential for emissions savings could come from the use of SAF, i.e. liquid drop-in fuels replacing fossil kerosene. However, currently only around 0.05% of total aviation fuels used in the EU are sustainable.

The ReFuelEU Aviation initiative aims to maintain a competitive air transport sector while increasing the share of SAF used by airlines. The European Commission aims to propose in spring 2021 a Regulation imposing increasing shares of SAF to be blended with conventional fuel. This could result in important emission savings for the sector, given that some of those fuels (e.g. synthetic fuels) have the potential to save up to 85% or more of emissions compared to fossil fuels, over their total lifecycle.

ASSESSMENT

A meaningful deployment of SAF in the aviation market will lead to a net decrease of the air transport sector's CO_2 emissions. SAF can achieve as high as 85% or more emissions savings compared to conventional jet fuel, and therefore, if deployed at a large scale, have important potential to help aviation contribute to EU reaching its climate targets.

At the time of the submission of this action plan the legislative proposal under the ReFuelEU Aviation initiative, as well as its supporting impact assessment, were not yet adopted. As a result, the assessment of the benefits provided by this collective European measure in terms of reduction in aviation emissions is expected to be included in a future update of the common section of this action plan.

2.2 Addressing barriers of SAF penetration into the market

SAF are considered to be a critical element in the basket of measures to mitigate aviation's contribution to climate change in the short-term using the existing global fleet.

However, the use of SAF has remained negligible up to now despite previous policy initiatives such as the European Advanced Biofuels Flightpath, as there are still significant barriers for its large-scale deployment.

The European Aviation Environmental Report (EAER) published in January 2019, identified a lack of information at European level on the supply and use of SAF within Europe. EASA completed two studies in 2019 to address the lack of SAF monitoring in the EU.

2.2.1 Sustainable Aviation Fuel 'Facilitation Initiative'

The first study, addressing the barriers of SAF penetration into the market, examines how to incentivise the approval and use of SAF as drop-in fuels in Europe by introducing a SAF Facilitation Initiative.

The remaining significant industrial and economic barriers limit the penetration of SAF into the aviation sector. To reduce the costs and risk that economic operators face in bringing SAF to the aviation market, this study examined how to incentivise the approval and use of SAF as drop-in fuels in Europe by introducing a SAF Facilitation Initiative.
The report begins by analysing the status of SAFs in Europe today, including both more established technologies and ones at a lower Technology Readiness Level (TRL). It reviews one of the major solutions to the obstacle of navigating the SAF approval process, namely the US Clearing House run by the University of Dayton Research Institute and funded by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). The issue of

sustainability is also examined, via an analysis of the role of Sustainability Certification Schemes (SCS) and how they interact with regulatory sustainability requirements

with regulatory sustainability requirements, particularly those in the EU's Renewable Energy Directive (RED II) and ICAO's Carbon Offsetting and Reduction Scheme for International Aviation (CORSIA).

Through interviews with a wide range of stakeholders the best form of European facilitation initiative has been identified. This study recommends that such an initiative be divided into two separate bodies, the first acting as an EU Clearing House and the second acting as a Stakeholder Forum.

The report is available at EASA's website: 'Sustainable Aviation Fuel 'Facilitation Initiative'.

2.2.2. Sustainable Aviation Fuel 'Monitoring System'

In response to a lack of information at the EU level on the supply and use of SAF within Europe identified by the European Aviation Environmental Report, EASA launched a second study to identify a cost effective, robust data stream to monitor the use and supply of SAF, as well as the associated emissions reductions. This included identifying and recommending performance indicators related to the use of SAF in Europe, as well as the associated aviation CO_2 emissions reductions achieved.

The study followed five steps:

1. Identification of possible performance indicators by reviewing the current 'state of the art' SAF indicators and consultation with key stakeholders.
2. Identification of regulatory reporting requirements, and other possible sources of datasets and information streams in the European context, with the potential to cover the data needs of the proposed performance indicators.
3. Examination of sustainability requirements applicable to SAF, and potential savings in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions compared to fossil-based fuels.
4. Review of SAF use today and future expectations for SAF use within Europe.
5. Definition of a future monitoring and reporting process on SAF use in Europe and related recommendations to implement it.

The results will be used as a basis for subsequent work to include SAF performance indicators in future EAERs, which will provide insight into the market penetration of SAF over time in order to assess the success of policy measures to incentivize uptake.

The report is available at EASA's website: 'Sustainable Aviation Fuel 'Monitoring System'.

ASSESSMENT

While these studies are expected to contribute to addressing barriers of SAF penetration into the market, its inclusion is for information purposes and the assessment of its benefits in terms of reduction in aviation emissions is not provided in the present action plan.

2.3 Standards and requirements for SAF

2.3.1. European Union standards applicable to SAF supply

Within the European Union there are currently applicable standards for renewable energy supply in the transportation sector, which are included in the revised Renewable Energy Directive (RED II) that entered into force in December 2018 (Directive 2018/2001/EU).

It aims at promoting the use of energy from renewable sources, establishing mandatory targets to be achieved by 2030 for a 30% overall share of renewable energy in the EU and a minimum of 14% share for renewable energy in the transport sector, including for aviation but without mandatory SAF supply targets.

Sustainability and life cycle emissions methodologies:

Sustainability criteria and life cycle emissions methodologies have been established for all transport renewable fuels supplied within the EU to be counted towards the targets, which are fully applicable to SAF supply.

These can be found in RED´s[31] Article 17, *Sustainability criteria for biofuels and bioliquids*. Those requirements remain applicable on the revised RED II (Directive (EU) 2018/2001)38, Article 29 *Sustainability and greenhouse gas emissions saving criteria for biofuels, bioliquids and biomass fuels* paragraphs 2 to 7, although the RED II introduces some new specific criteria for forestry feedstocks.

Transport renewable fuels (thus, including SAF) produced in installations starting operation from 1 January 2021 must achieve 65% GHG emissions savings with respect to a fossil fuel comparator for transportation fuels of 94 g CO_2eq/MJ. In the case of transport renewable fuels of non-biological origin[32], the threshold is raised to 70% GHG emissions savings.

To help economic operators to declare the GHG emission savings of their products, default and typical values for a number of specific pathways are listed in the RED II Annex V (for liquid biofuels). The European Commission can revise and update the default values of GHG emissions when technological developments make it necessary.

Economic operators have the option to either use default GHG intensity values provided in RED II (Parts A & B of Annex V) so as to estimate GHG emissions savings for some or all of the steps of a specific biofuel production process, or to calculate "actual values" for their pathway in accordance with the RED methodology laid down in Part C of Annex V;

In the case of non-bio based fuels, a specific methodology is currently under development to be issued in 2021.

2.3.2. ICAO standards applicable to SAF supply

Europe is actively contributing to the development of the ICAO CORSIA Standards and Recommended Practices (SARPs), though the ICAO Committee on Aviation and Environmental Protection (CAEP), establishing global Sustainability Requirements applicable to SAF as well as to the CORSIA Methodology for Calculating Actual Life Cycle Emissions Values and to the calculation of CORSIA Default Life Cycle Emissions Values for CORSIA Eligible Fuels; CORSIA standards are applicable to any SAF use to be claimed under CORSIA in order to reduce offsetting obligations by aeroplane operators.

ASSESSMENT

The inclusion of European requirements for SAF respond to ICAO Guidance (Doc 9988) request (Para. 4.2.14) to provide estimates of the actual life cycle emissions of the SAF which are being used or planned to deploy and the methodology used for the life cycle analysis. It is therefore provided for information purposes only and no further

[31] Directive 2009/28/EC.
[32] In the case of renewable fuels of non-biological origin, two types are considered: a) Renewable liquid and gaseous transport fuels of non-biological origin (including categories commonly referred as Power to Liquid - PtL-, Electro-fuels and Synthetic fuels). b) Waste gases, which are under the category of REcycled FUel from NOn-BIOlogical origin (also known as REFUNIOBIO).

assessment of its benefits in terms of reduction in aviation emissions is provided in this action plan common section.

2.4 Research and Development projects on SAF

2.4.1 European Advanced Biofuels Flightpath

An updated and renewed approach to the 2011 Biofuels FlightPath Initiative[33], was required to further impulse its implementation. As a result, the European Commission launched in 2016 the new Biofuels FlightPath to take into account recent evolutions and to tackle the current barriers identified for the deployment of SAF.

The Biofuels FlightPath was managed by its Core Team, which consists of representatives from Airbus, Air France, KLM, IAG, IATA, BiojetMap, SkyNRG and Lufthansa from the aviation side and Mossi Ghisolfi, Neste, Honeywell-UOP, Total and Swedish Biofuels on the biofuel producers' side.

A dedicated executive team, formed by SENASA, ONERA, Transport & Mobility Leuven and Wageningen UR, coordinated for three years the stakeholder's strategy in the field of aviation by supporting the activities of the Core Team and providing sound recommendations to the European Commission.

A number of communications and studies were delivered and are available[34].

The project was concluded with a Stakeholders conference in Brussels on 27 November 2019, and the publication of a report summarizing its outcomes.

2.4.2 Projects funded under the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme

Since 2016, seven new projects have been funded by the Horizon 2020, which is the biggest Research and Innovation program of the EU.

BIO4A[35]: The "*Advanced Sustainable Biofuels for Aviation*» project plan to demonstrate the first large industrial-scale production and use of SAF in Europe obtained from residual lipids such as Used Cooking Oil.

The project will also investigate the supply of sustainable feedstocks produced from drought-resistant crops such as Camelina, grown on marginal land in EU Mediterranean areas. By adopting a combination of biochar and other soil amendments, it will be possible to increase the fertility of the soil and its resilience to climate change, while at the same time storing fixed carbon into the soil. BIO4A will also test the use of SAF across the entire logistic chain at industrial scale and under market conditions, and it will finally assess the environmental and socio-economic sustainability performance of the whole value chain.

Started in May 2018, BIO4A will last until 2022, and it is carried out by a consortium of seven partners from five European countries.

KEROGREEN[36]: *Production of sustainable aircraft grade kerosene from water and air powered by renewable electricity, through the splitting of CO_2, syngas formation and*

[33] In June 2011 the European Commission, in close coordination with Airbus, leading European airlines (Lufthansa, Air France/KLM, & British Airways) and key European biofuel producers (Choren Industries, Neste Oil, Biomass Technology Group and UOP), launched the **European Advanced Biofuels Flight-path**. This industry-wide initiative aimed to speed up the commercialisation of aviation biofuels in Europe, with an initial objective of achieving the commercialisation of 2 million tonnes of SAF by 2020, target that was not reached due to the commercial challenges of SAF large-scale supply. https://ec.europa.eu/energy/sites/ener/files/20130911_a_performing_biofuels_supply_chain.pdf

[34] https://www.biofuelsflightpath.eu/ressources

[35] www.bio4a.eu

[36] www.kerogreen.eu

Fischer-Tropsch synthesis (KEROGREEN), is a Research and Innovation Action (RIA) carried out by six partners from four European countries aiming at the development and testing of an innovative conversion route for the production of SAF from water and air powered by renewable electricity.

The new approach and process of KEROGREEN reduces overall CO_2 emission by creating a closed carbon fuel cycle and at the same time creates long-term large-scale energy storage capacity which will strengthen the EU energy security and allow creation of a sustainable transportation sector.

The KEROGREEN project expected duration is from April 2018 to March 2022.

FlexJET[37]: *Sustainable Jet Fuel from Flexible Waste Biomass* (flexJET) is a four-year project targeting diversifying the feedstock for SAF beyond vegetable oils and fats to biocrude oil produced from a wide range of organic waste. This is also one of the first technologies to use green hydrogen from the processed waste feedstock for the downstream refining process thereby maximising greenhouse gas savings.

The project aims at building a demonstration plant for a 12 t/day use of food & market waste and 4000 l/day of Used Cooking Oil (UCO), produce hydrogen for refining through separation from syngas based on Pressure Swing Absorption technology, and finally deliver 1200 tons of SAF (ASTM D7566 Annex 2) for commercial flights to British Airways.

The consortium with 13 partner organisations has brought together some of the leading researchers, industrial technology providers and renewable energy experts from across Europe. The project has a total duration of 48 months from April 2018 to March 2022.

BioSFerA[38]: The *Biofuels production from Syngas Fermentation for Aviation and maritime use* (BioSFerA)project, aims to validate a combined thermochemical - biochemical pathway to develop cost-effective interdisciplinary technology to produce sustainable aviation and maritime fuels. At the end of the project next generation aviation and maritime biofuels, completely derived from second generation biomass, will be produced and validated by industrial partners at pilot scale. The project will undertake a full value chain evaluation that will result in a final analysis to define a pathway for the market introduction of the project concept. Some crosscutting evaluations carried out on all tested and validated processes will complete the results of the project from an economic, environmental and social point of view.

The project is carried out by a consortium of 11 partners from 6 European countries and its expected duration is from 1 April 2020 to 31 March 2024.

BL2F[39]: The *Black Liquor to Fuel* (BL2F) project will use "Black Liquor" to create a clean, high-quality biofuel. Black liquor is a side-stream of the chemical pulping industry that can be transformed into fuel, reducing waste and providing an alternative to fossil fuels. Launched in April 2020, BL2F will develop a first-of-its-kind Integrated "Hydrothermal Liquefaction" (HTL) process at pulp mills, decreasing carbon emissions during the creation of the fuel intermediate. This will then be further upgraded at oil refineries to bring it closer to the final products and provide a feedstock for marine and aviation fuels.

BL2F aims to contribute to a reduction of 83% CO_2 emitted compared to fossil fuels. A large deployment of the processes developed by BL2F, using a variety of biomass, could yield more than 50 billion litres of advanced biofuels by 2050.

The project brings together 12 partners from 8 countries around Europe and its expected duration is from 1 April 2020 till 31 March 2023.

[37] www.flexjetproject.eu
[38] https://biosfera-project.eu
[39] https://www.bl2f.eu

FLITE[40]: The *Fuel via Low Carbon Integrated Technology from Ethanol* (FLITE) consortium proposes to expand the supply of low carbon jet fuel in Europe by designing, building, and demonstrating an innovative ethanol-based Alcohol-to-Jet (ATJ) technology in an ATJ Advanced Production Unit (ATJ-APU). The ATJ-APU will produce jet blend stocks from non-food/non-feed ethanol with over 70% GHG reductions relative to conventional jet. The Project will demonstrate over 1000 hours of operations and production of over 30,000 metric tonnes of Sustainable Aviation Fuel.

The diversity of ethanol sources offers the potential to produce cost competitive SAF, accelerating uptake by commercial airlines and paving the way for implementation.

The project is carried out by a consortium of five partners from six European countries and its expected duration is from 1 December 2020 till 30 November 2024.

TAKE-OFF[41]: Is an industrially driven project aiming to be a game-changer in the cost-effective production of SAF from CO_2 and hydrogen. The unique TAKE-OFF technology is based on conversion of CO_2 and H_2 to SAF via ethylene as intermediate. Its industrial partners will team up with research groups to deliver a highly innovative process which produces SAF at lower costs, higher energy efficiency and higher carbon efficiency to the crude jet fuel product than the current benchmark Fischer-Tropsch process. TAKE-OFF's key industrial players should allow the demonstration of the full technology chain, utilising industrial captured CO_2 and electrolytically produced hydrogen. The demonstration activities will provide valuable data for comprehensive technical and economic and environmental analyses with an outlook on Chemical Factories of the Future.

The project is carried out by a consortium of nine partners from five European countries and its expected duration is from 1 January 2021 till 24 December 2024.

ASSESSMENT

This information on SAF European Research and Development projects are included in this common section of the action plan to complement the information on Sustainable Aviation Fuels measures and to inform on collective European efforts. No further quantitative assessment of the benefits of this collective European measure in terms of reduction in aviation emissions is provided in the common section of this action plan.

[40] https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/857839
[41] https://cordis.europa.eu/project/id/101006799

3. OPERATIONAL IMPROVEMENTS

3.1 The EU's Single European Sky Initiative and SESAR

3.1.1 SESAR Project

SES and SESAR

The European Union's Single European Sky (SES) policy aims to reform Air Traffic Management (ATM) in Europe in order to enhance its performance in terms of its capacity to manage variable volumes of flights in a safer, more cost-efficient and environmentally friendly manner.

The SESAR (*Single European Sky ATM Research*) programme addresses the technological dimension of the single European sky, aiming in particular to deploy a modern, interoperable and high-performing ATM infrastructure in Europe.

SESAR contributes to the Single Sky's performance targets by defining, validating and deploying innovative technological and operational solutions for managing air traffic in a more efficient manner. SESAR coordinates and concentrates all EU research and development (RTD) activities in ATM.

SESAR is fully aligned with the Union’s objectives of a sustainable and digitalised mobility and is projected towards their progressive achievement over the next decade. To implement the SESAR project, the Commission has set up with the industry, an innovation cycle comprising three interrelated phases: definition, development and deployment. These phases are driven by partnerships (SESAR Joint Undertaking and SESAR Deployment Manager) involving all categories of ATM/aviation stakeholders.

Guided by the European ATM Master Plan, the SESAR Joint Undertaking (SJU) is responsible for defining, developing, validating and delivering technical and operation solutions to modernise Europe’s ATM system and deliver benefits to Europe and its citizens. The SESAR JU research programme is developed over successive phases, SESAR 1 (from 2008 to 2016) and SESAR 2020 (started in 2016) and SESAR 3 (starting in 2022). It is delivering SESAR solutions in four key areas, namely airport operations, network operations, air traffic services and technology enablers.

The SESAR contribution to the SES high-level goals set by the Commission are continuously reviewed by the SESAR JU and are kept up to date in the ATM Master Plan.

SESAR and the European Green Deal objectives

The European Green Deal launched by the European Commission in December 2019 aims to create the world's first climate-neutral bloc by 2050. This ambitious target calls for deep-rooted change across the aviation sector and places significantly stronger focus on the environmental impact of flying. Multiple technology pathways are required, one of which is the digital transformation of air traffic management, where SESAR innovation comes into play. Over the past ten years the SESAR JU has worked to improve the environmental footprint of air traffic management, from CO_2 and non-CO_2 emissions, to noise and local air quality. The programme is examining every phase of flight and use of the airspace and seeing what technologies can be used to eliminate fuel inefficiencies. It is also investing in synchronised data exchange and operations on the ground and in the air to ensure maximum impact. The ambition is to reduce by 2035 average CO_2 emissions per flight by 0.8-1.6 tonnes, taking into account the entire flight from gate to gate, including the airport.

Results

To date, the SESAR JU has delivered over 90 solutions for implementation, many of which offer direct and indirect benefits for the environment, with more solutions in the pipeline in SESAR 2020. Outlined in the SESAR Solutions Catalogue, these include solutions such as wake turbulence separation (for arrivals and departure), optimised use of runway configuration for multiple runway airports, or even optimised integration of arrival and departure traffic flows for single and multiple runway airports. Looking ahead, it is anticipated that the next generation of SESAR solutions will contribute to a reduction of some 450 kg CO_2 per flight.

Considering the urgency of the situation, the SESAR JU is working to accelerate the digital transformation in order to support a swift transition to greener aviation. Large-scale demonstrators are key to bridging the industrialisation gap, bringing these innovations to scale and encouraging rapid implementation by industry. Such large-scale efforts have started now with the recently launched ALBATROSS project. They will also be the focus of the future SESAR 3 Joint Undertaking, which is expected to give further and fresh impetus to this important endeavour.

The **Performance Ambitions for 2035** compared to a **2012 baseline** for Controlled airspace for each key performance area are presented in the figure below, with the ambition for environment, expressed in CO_2 reduction, highlighted by the green dotted rectangle of **Figure 16** below:

Key performance area	SES high-level goals 2005	Key performance indicator	Performance ambition vs. baseline: Baseline value (2012)	Ambition value (2035)	Absolute improvement	Relative improvement
Capacity	Enable 3-fold increase in ATM capacity	**Departure delay**[4], min/dep	9.5 min	6.5-8.5 min	1-3 min	10-30%
		IFR movements at most congested airports[5], million	4 million	4.2-4.4 million	0.2-0.4 million	5-10%
		Network throughput IFR flights[5], million	9.7 million	~15.7 million	~6.0 million	~60%
		Network throughput IFR flight hours[5], million	15.2 million	~26.7 million	~11.5 million	~75%
Cost efficiency	Reduced ATM services unit costs by 50% or more	**Gate-to-gate direct ANS cost per flight**[1] · EUR(2012)	EUR 960	EUR 580-670	EUR 290-380	30-40%
Operational efficiency		**Gate-to-gate fuel burn per flight**[2], kg/flight	5280 kg	4780-5030 kg	250-500 kg	5-10%
		Additional gate-to-gate flight time per flight, min/flight	8.2 min	3.7-4.1 min	4.1-4.5 min	50-55%
		Within the: Gate-to-gate flight time per flight[3], min/flight	(111 min)	(116 min)		
Environment	Enable 10% reduction in the effects flights have on the environment	**Gate-to-gate CO_2 emissions**, tonnes/flight	16.6 tonnes	15-15.8 tonnes	0.8-1.6 tonnes	5-10%
Safety	Improve safety by factor 10	**Accidents with direct ATM contribution**[6], #/year Includes in-flight accidents as well as accidents during surface movement (during taxi and on the runway)	0.7 (long-term average)	no ATM related accidents	0.7	100%
Security		**ATM related security incidents resulting in traffic disruptions**	unknown	no significant disruption due to cyber-security vulnerabilities	unknown	-

1 Unit rate savings will be larger because the average number of Service Units per flight continues to increase.
2 "Additional" means the average flight time extension caused by ATM inefficiencies.
3 Average flight time increases because the number of long-distance flights is forecast to grow faster than the number of short-distance flights.
4 All primary and secondary (reactionary) delay, including ATM and non-ATM causes.
5 Includes all non-segregated unmanned traffic flying IFR, but not the drone traffic flying in airspace below 500 feet or the new entrants flying above FL 600
6 In accordance with the PRR definition: where at least one ATM event or item was judged to be DIRECTLY in the causal chain of events leading to the accident. Without that ATM event, it is considered that the accident would not have happened.

Figure 16: Performance Ambitions for 2035 for Controlled airspace (Source: European ATM Master Plan 2020 Edition).

While all SESAR solutions bring added value to ATM performance, some have a higher potential to contribute the performance of the entire European ATM network and require a coordinated and synchronised deployment. To facilitate the deployment of these SESAR solutions, the Commission establishes common projects that mandate the synchronised implementation of selected essential ATM functionalities based on SESAR solutions developed and validated by the SESAR JU.

The first common project was launched in 2014 and its implementation is currently being coordinated by the SESAR Deployment Manager throughout the entire European ATM network. It includes six ATM functionalities aiming in particular to:

- Optimise the distancing of aircraft during landing and take-off, reducing delays and fuel burn while ensuring the safest flying conditions.
- Allow aircraft to fly their preferred and usually most fuel-efficient trajectory (free route).
- Implement an initial, yet fundamental step towards digitalising communications between aircraft and controllers and between ground stakeholders allowing better planning, predictability, thus less delays and fuel optimisation and passenger experience.

The first common project[42] is planned to be completed by 2027. However, the benefits highlighted in **Figure 17** below have been measured where the functionalities have already been implemented.

[42] https://ec.europa.eu/transport/modes/air/sesar/deployment_en



Figure 17: First results of the first common project implemented.

3.1.2 SESAR Exploratory Research (V0 to V1)

SESAR Exploratory Research projects explore new concepts beyond those identified in the European ATM Master Plan or emerging technologies and methods. The knowledge acquired can be transferred into the SESAR industrial and demonstration activities. SESAR Exploratory Research projects are not subject to performance targets but should address the performances to which they have the potential to contribute.

3.1.3 SESAR Industrial Research & Validation Projects (environmental focus)

The main outcomes of the industrial research and validation projects dedicated to the environmental impacts of aviation in SESAR 1 were:

- The initial development by EUROCONTROL of the IMPACT[43] web-based platform which allows noise impact assessments and estimates of fuel burn and resulting emissions to be made from common inputs, thus enabling trade-offs to be conducted. IMPACT has since been continuously maintained and developed by EUROCONTROL, used for ICAO Committee on Aviation Environmental Protection Modelling and Database Group (CAEP) assessments, the conduct of studies in support of the European Aviation Environment Report (EAER) editions 2016 and 2019, and has been adopted by a large range of aviation stakeholders.
- The initial development/maintenance Open-ALAQS that provides a mean to perform emissions inventory at airports, emissions concentration calculation and dispersion.
- The development of an IMPACT assessment process[44].

It should be noted that these tools and methodology were developed to cover the research and the future deployment phase of SESAR, as well as to support European states and agencies in conducting environmental impact assessments for operational or regulatory purposes. They are still in use in SESAR.

[43] https://www.eurocontrol.int/platform/integrated-aircraft-noise-and-emissions-modelling-platform
[44] https://www.sesarju.eu/sites/default/files/documents/transversal/SESAR%202020%20-%20Environment%20Impact%20Assessment%20Guidance.pdf

SESAR Industrial Research and Validation assesses and validates technical and operational concepts in simulated and real operational environments according to a set of key performance areas. These concepts mature through the SESAR programme from V1 to V3 to become SESAR Solutions ready for deployment.

SESAR has a wide range of solutions to improve the efficiency of air traffic management, some of which are specifically designed to improve environmental performance, by reducing noise impact around airports and/or fuel consumption and emissions in all phases of flight.
A catalogue of SESAR Solutions is available[45] and those addressing environment impacts are identified by the following pictogram: 

3.1.4 SESAR2020 Industrial Research and Validation - Environmental Performance Assessment

The systematic assessment of environmental impacts of aviation are at the heart of SESAR Industrial Research and Validation activities since SESAR 1, with a very challenging target on fuel/CO_2 efficiency of 500kg of fuel savings on average per flight.

SESAR Pj19.04 Content Integration members are monitoring the progress of SESAR Solutions towards this target in a document call Performance Assessment and Gap Analysis Report (PAGAR). The Updated version of PAGAR 2019 provides the following environmental achievements:



Figure 18: SESAR fuel efficiency achievement versus gap (Source: Updated version of PAGAR 2019)

The Fuel Efficiency benefits at V3 maturity level in SESAR 2020 Wave 1 represents an average of 40.1 kg of fuel savings per flight. There would therefore be a gap of 352.6 kg in fuel savings per flight to be filled by Wave 2, compared to the high fuel savings Ambition target (and a gap of 102.6 kg with respect to the low Ambition target, as the Master Plan defines a range of 5-10% as the goal). Potentially 73.5 kg might be fulfilled from Wave 1 Solutions non-fully V3 continuing in Wave 2.

A fuel saving of 40.1 kg per ECAC flight equates to about 0.76% of the 5,280kg of fuel burnt on average by an ECAC flight in 2012 (SESAR baseline). Although this might seem marginal, in 2035, ECAC-wide, it would equate to 1.9 million tonnes of CO_2 saved,

[45] https://www.sesarju.eu/news/sesar-solution-catalogue-third-edition-now-out

equivalent to the CO_2 emitted by 165,000 Paris-Berlin flights; or a city of 258,000 European citizens; or the CO_2 captured by 95 million trees per year.

In SESAR, a value of 5,280 Kg of fuel per flight for the ECAC (including oceanic region) is used as a baseline [46]. Based on the information provided by the PAGAR 2019 document[47], the benefits at the end of Wave 1 could be about 3% CO_2/fuel savings achieved by 2025 equivalent to 147.4kg of fuel/flight. So far, the target for Wave 2 remains at about 7% more CO_2/fuel savings (352.6kg of fuel) to reach the initial Ambition target of about 10% CO_2/fuel savings (500kg fuel) per flight by 2035. Beyond 2035, there is no SESAR Ambition yet. To this could be added the as yet non-estimated benefits of Exploratory Research projects[48].

3.1.5 SESAR AIRE demonstration projects

In addition to its core activities, the SESAR JU co-financed projects where ATM stakeholders worked collaboratively to perform integrated flight trials and demonstrations of solutions. These aimed to reduce CO_2 emissions for surface, terminal, and oceanic operations and substantially accelerate the pace of change. Between 2009 and 2012, the SESAR JU co-financed a total of 33 “green” projects in collaboration with global partners, under the Atlantic Interoperability Initiative to Reduce Emissions (AIRE).

AIRE[49] is the first large-scale environmental initiative bringing together aviation players from both sides of the Atlantic. So far, three AIRE cycles have been successfully completed.

A total of 15 767 flight trials were conducted, involving more than 100 stakeholders, demonstrating savings ranging from 20 to 1 000kg of fuel per flight (or 63 to 3150 kg of CO_2), and improvements in day-to-day operations. Another nine demonstration projects took place from 2012 to 2014, also focusing on the environment, and during 2015/2016 the SESAR JU co-financed fifteen additional large-scale demonstration projects, which were more ambitious in geographic scale and technology.

3.1.6 SESAR 2020 Very Large-Scale Demonstrations (VLDs)

VLDs evaluate SESAR Solutions on a much larger scale and in real operations to prove their applicability and encourage the early take-up of V3 mature solutions.

SESAR JU has recently awarded ALBATROSS[50], a consortium of major European aviation stakeholder groups to demonstrate how the technical and operational R&D achievements of the past years can transform the current fuel intensive aviation to an environment-friendly industry sector.

The ALBATROSS consortium will carry a series of demonstration flights, which the aim to implementing a “perfect flight” (in other words the most fuel-efficient flight) will be explored and extensively demonstrated in real conditions, through a series of live trials in various European operating environments. The demonstrations will span through a period of several months and will utilise over 1,000 demonstration flights.

[46] See SESAR ATM Master Plan – Edition 2020 (www.atmmasterplan.eu) - eATM

[47] See SESAR Performance Assessment Gap Analysis Report (PAGAR) updated version of 2019 v00.01.04, 31-03-2021

[48] See SESAR Exploratory Research projects - https://www.sesarju.eu/exploratoryresearch

[49]https://ec.europa.eu/transport/modes/air/environment/aire_en#:~:text=The%20joint%20initiative%20AIRE%20(Atlantic,NEXTGEN%20in%20the%20United%20States

[50] https://www.sesarju.eu/projects/ALBATROSS

3.1.7 Preparing SESAR

Complementing the European ATM Master Plan 2020 and the High-Level Partnership Proposal, the Strategic Research and Innovation Agenda (SRIA) details the research and innovation roadmaps to achieve the Digital European Sky, matching the ambitions of the 'European Green Deal' and the 'Europe fit for the digital age' initiative.

The SRIA[51] identifies inter-alia the need to continue working on "optimum green trajectories", on non-CO_2 impacts of aviation, and the need to accelerate decarbonisation of aviation through operational and business incentivisation.

ASSESSMENT

The quantitative assessment of the operational and ATM improvement scenario from 2020 to 2050 has been included in the modelled scenarios by EUROCONTROL on the basis of efficiency analyses from the SESAR project indicated in Figure 18 above and it is included in Section A above (ECAC Baseline Scenario and Estimated Benefits of Implemented Measures).

Table 9. CO_2 emissions forecast for the ATM improvements scenarios.

Year	CO_2 emissions (10^9 kg)	
	Baseline Scenario	Implemented Measures Scenario
		ATM improvements
2030	160.29	149.9
2040	197.13	177.4
2050	210.35	197.4

For reasons of data availability, results shown in this table do not include cargo/freight traffic. Note that fuel consumption is assumed to be unaffected by the use of sustainable aviation fuels.

[51] https://www.sesarju.eu/node/3697

4. MARKET-BASED MEASURES

4.1 The Carbon Offsetting and Reduction Scheme for International Aviation

ECAC Member States have always been strong supporters of a market-based measure scheme for international aviation to incentivise and reward good investment and operational choices, and so welcomed the agreement on the Carbon Offsetting and Reduction Scheme for International Aviation (CORSIA).

The 39th General Assembly of ICAO (2016) reaffirmed the 2013 objective of stabilising CO_2 emissions from international aviation at 2020 levels. In addition, the States adopted the introduction of a global market-based measure, namely the '*Carbon Offsetting and Reduction Scheme for International Aviation*' (CORSIA), to offset and reduce international aviation's CO_2 emissions above average 2019/2020 levels through standard international CO_2 emissions reductions units which would be put into the global market. This major achievement was most welcome by European States which have actively promoted the mitigation of international emissions from aviation at a global level.

4.1.1 Development and update of ICAO CORSIA standards

European Member States have fully supported ICAO's work on the development of Annex 16, Volume IV to the Convention on International Civil Aviation containing the Standards and Recommended Practices (SARPs) for the implementation of CORSIA, which was adopted by the ICAO Council in June 2018.

As a part of the ICAO's Committee on Aviation Environmental Protection (CAEP) work programme for the CAEP/12 cycle, CAEP's Working Group 4 (WG4) is tasked to maintain the Annex 16, Volume IV and related guidance material, and to propose revisions to improve those documents as needed.

Europe is contributing with significant resources to the work of CAEP-WG4 and EASA in particular by providing a WG4 co-Rapporteur, and by co-leading the WG4 task on maintaining the Annex 16, Volume IV and related guidance material.

4.1.2 CORSIA implementation

In application of their commitment in the 2016 “Bratislava Declaration” the 44 ECAC Member States have notified ICAO of their decision to voluntarily participate in CORSIA from the start of the pilot phase in 2021 and have effectively engaged in its implementation. This shows the full commitment of the EU, its Member States and the other Member States of ECAC to counter the expected in-sector growth of total CO_2 emissions from air transport and to achieving overall carbon neutral growth.

On June 2020, the European Council adopted COUNCIL DECISION (EU) 2020/954 on the position to be taken on behalf of the European Union within the International Civil Aviation Organization as regards the notification of voluntary participation in the Carbon Offsetting and Reduction Scheme for International Aviation (CORSIA) from 1 January 2021 and the option selected for calculating aeroplane operators’ offsetting requirements during the 2021-2023 period.

ASSESSMENT

CORSIA is a global measure which assessment is undertaken globally by ICAO. Thus, the assessment of the benefits provided by CORSIA in terms of reduction in European emissions is not provided in this action plan.

4.2 The EU Emissions Trading System and its linkages with other systems (Swiss ETS and UK ETS)

The EU Emissions Trading System (EU ETS) is the cornerstone of the European Union's policy to tackle climate change, and a key tool for reducing greenhouse gas emissions cost-effectively, including from the aviation sector.

The 30 EEA States in Europe have already implemented the EU Emissions Trading System (ETS), including the aviation sector with around 500 aircraft operators participating in the cap-and-trade approach to limit CO_2 emissions. It was the first and is the biggest international system capping greenhouse gas emissions. In the period 2013 to 2020 EU ETS has saved an estimated 200 million tonnes of intra-European aviation CO_2 emissions.

It operates in 30 countries: the 27 EU Member States, Iceland, Liechtenstein and Norway. The EU ETS currently covers half of the EU's CO_2 emissions, encompassing those from around 11 000 power stations and industrial plants in 30 countries, and, under its current scope, around 500 commercial and non-commercial aircraft operators that fly between airports in the European Economic Area (EEA). The EU ETS Directive was revised in line with the European Council Conclusions of October 2014[52] that confirmed that the EU ETS will be the main European instrument to achieve the EU's binding 2030 target of an at least 40%[53], and will be revised to be aligned with the latest Conclusions in December 2020[54], prescribing at least 55% domestic reduction (without using international credits) of greenhouse gases compared to 1990.
The EU ETS began operation in 2005, for aviation in 2012; a series of important changes to the way it works took effect in 2013, strengthening the system. The EU ETS works on the "cap and trade" principle. This means there is a "cap", or limit, on the total amount of certain greenhouse gases that can be emitted by the factories, power plants, other installations and aircraft operators in the system. Within this cap, companies can sell to or buy emission allowances from one another. The limit on allowances available provides

[52] http://www.consilium.europa.eu/en/meetings/european-council/2014/10/23-24/

[53] Directive (EU) 2018/410 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 14 March 2018 amending Directive 2003/87/EC to enhance cost-effective emission reductions and low-carbon investments, and Decision (EU) 2015/1814, https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32018L0410

[54] 1011-12-20-euco-conclusions-en.pdf (europa.eu)

certainty that the environmental objective is achieved and gives allowances a market value.

For aviation, the cap is calculated based on the average emissions from the years 2004-2006, while the free allocation to aircraft operators is based on activity data from 2010. The cap for aviation activities for the 2013-2020 phase of the ETS was set to 95% of these historical aviation emissions. Starting from 2021, free allocation to aircraft operators is reduced by the linear reduction factor (currently of 2.2%) now applicable to all ETS sectors. Aircraft operators are entitled to free allocation based on a benchmark, but this does not cover the totality of emissions. The remaining allowances need to be purchased from auctions or from the secondary market. The system allows aircraft operators to use aviation allowances or general (stationary installations) allowances to cover their emissions. Currently, 82% of aviation allowances are distributed through free allocation, 3% are part of a special reserve for new entrants and fast growers, and 15% are auctioned.

The legislation to include aviation in the EU ETS was adopted in 2008 by the European Parliament and the Council[55].

Following the 2013 ICAO agreement on developing CORSIA, the EU decided[56] to limit the scope of the EU ETS to flights between airports located in the European Economic Area (EEA) for the period 2013-2016, and to carry out a new revision in the light of the outcome of the 2016 ICAO Assembly. The European Commission assessed the outcome of the 39th ICAO Assembly and, in that light, a new Regulation was adopted in 2017[57].

The legislation maintains the scope of the EU ETS for aviation limited to intra-EEA flights and sets out the basis for the implementation of CORSIA. It provides for European legislation on the monitoring, reporting and verification rules through a delegated act under the EU ETS Directive of July 2019[58]. It foresees that a further assessment should take place and a report be presented to the European Parliament and to the Council considering how to implement CORSIA in Union law through a revision of the EU ETS Directive. The European Green Deal and 2030 Climate Target Plan clearly set out the Commission's intention to propose to reduce the EU ETS allowances allocated for free to airlines. This work is currently ongoing and is part of the "Fit for 55 package"[59].
The EU legislation foresees that, where a third country takes measures to reduce the climate change impact of flights departing from its airports, the EU will facilitate interaction between the EU scheme and that country's measures and flights arriving from the third country could be excluded from the scope of the EU ETS. This is the case

[55] Directive 2008/101/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 19 November 2008 amending Directive 2003/87/EC so as to include aviation activities in the scheme for greenhouse gas emission allowance trading within the Community, http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32008L0101

[56] Decision No. 377/2013/EU derogating temporarily from Directive 2003/87/EC establishing a scheme for greenhouse gas emission allowance trading within the Community, http://eur-lex.europa.eu/LexUriServLexUriServ.do?uri=CELEX:32013D0377:EN:NOT

[57] Regulation (EU) 2017/2392 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 13 December 2017 amending Directive 2003/87/EC to continue current limitations of scope for aviation activities and to prepare to implement a global market-based measure from 2021, http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=uriserv:OJ.L_.2017.350.01.0007.01.ENG&toc=OJ:L:2017:350:TOC

[58] Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2019/1603 of 18 July 2019 supplementing Directive 2003/87/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council as regards measures adopted by the International Civil Aviation Organisation for the monitoring, reporting and verification of aviation emissions for the purpose of implementing a global market-based measure https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=uriserv%3AOJ.L_.2019.250.01.0010.01.ENG

[59] 2021_commission_work_programme_new_policy_objectives_factsheet_en.pdf (europa.eu)

between the EU and Switzerland[60] following the agreement to link their respective emissions trading systems, which entered into force on 1 January 2020.

As a consequence of the linking agreement with Switzerland, from 2020 the EU ETS was extended to all departing flights from the EEA to Switzerland, and Switzerland applies its ETS to all departing flights to EEA airports, ensuring a level playing field on both directions of routes. In accordance with the EU-UK Trade and Cooperation Agreement reached in December 2020, the EU ETS shall continue to apply to departing flights from the EEA to the UK, while a UK ETS will apply effective carbon pricing on flights departing from the UK to the EEA.

Impact on fuel consumption and/or CO_2 emissions

The EU ETS has delivered around 200 MT of CO_2 emission reductions between 2013 and 2020[61]. While the in-sector aviation emissions for intra-EEA flights kept growing, from 53,5 million tonnes CO_2 in 2013 to 69 million in 2019, the flexibility of the EU ETS, whereby aircraft operators may use any allowances to cover their emissions, meant that the CO_2 impacts from these flights did not lead to overall greater greenhouse gas emissions. Verified emissions from aviation covered by the EU Emissions Trading System (ETS) in 2019 compared to 2018 continued to grow, albeit more modestly, with an increase of 1% compared to the previous year, or around 0.7 million tonnes CO_2 equivalent[62].

To complement the EU ETS price signal, EU ETS auctioning revenues should be used to support transition towards climate neutrality. Under the EU ETS (all sectors covered), Member States report that from 2012 until 2020, over €45 billions of ETS auction revenue has been used to tackle climate change, and additional support is available under the existing ETS Innovation Fund that is expected to deploy upwards of €12 billion in the period 2021-2030. The EU ETS' current price incentive per tonne for zero emission jet fuel, is by itself insufficient to bridge the price gap with conventional kerosene. However, by investing auctioning revenues through the Innovation Fund, the EU ETS can also support deployment of breakthrough technologies and drive the price gap down.

In terms of its contribution towards the ICAO carbon neutral growth goal from 2020, the states implementing the EU ETS have delivered, in "net" terms, the already achieved reduction of around 200 MT of aviation CO_2 emissions will continue to increase in the future under the new legislation. Other emission reduction measures taken, either collectively throughout Europe or by any of the states implementing the EU ETS, will also contribute towards the ICAO global goals. Such measures are likely to moderate the anticipated growth in aviation emissions.

[60] Commission Delegated Decision (EU) 2020/1071 of 18 May 2020 amending Directive 2003/87/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council, as regards the exclusion of incoming flights from Switzerland from the EU emissions trading system, OJ L 234, 21.7.2020, p. 16.

[61] See the 2019 European aviation environmental report: "Between 2013 and 2020, an estimated net saving of 193.4 Mt CO2 (twice Belgium's annual emissions) will be achieved by aviation via the EU ETS through funding of emissions reduction in other sectors.", https://www.eurocontrol.int/publication/european-aviation-environmental-report-2019

[62] https://ec.europa.eu/clima/news/carbon-market-report-emissions-eu-ets-stationary-installations-fall-over-9_en

ASSESSMENT

A quantitative assessment of the EU Emissions Trading System benefits based on the current scope (intra-European flights) is shown in **Table 10**.

Table 10: Summary of estimated EU-ETS emission reductions

Estimated emissions reductions resulting from the EU-ETS[63]

Year	*Reduction in CO_2 emissions*
2013-2020	*~200 MT[64]*

Those benefits illustrate past achievements.

[63] Include aggregated benefits of EU ETS and Swiss ETS for 2020.

[64] See the 2019 European aviation environmental report: ”Between 2013 and 2020, an estimated net saving of 193.4 Mt CO2 (twice Belgium’s annual emissions) will be achieved by aviation via the EU ETS through funding of emissions reduction in other sectors.”, https://www.eurocontrol.int/publication/european-aviation-environmental-report-2019

5. ADDITIONAL MEASURES

5.1 ACI Airport Carbon Accreditation

Airport Carbon Accreditation is a certification programme for carbon management at airports, based on international carbon mapping and management standards, specifically designed for the airport industry. It was launched in 2009 by Airport Council International (ACI) EUROPE, the trade association for European airports. Since then, it has expanded globally and is today available to members of all ACI Regions.

This industry-driven initiative was officially endorsed by EUROCONTROL and the European Civil Aviation Conference (ECAC). The programme is overseen by an independent Advisory Board comprised of many distinguished, independent experts from the fields of aviation and environment, including the European Commission, ECAC, ICAO and the UNFCCC.

The underlying aim of the programme is to encourage and enable airports to implement best practice carbon and energy management processes and to gain public recognition of their achievements. It requires airports to measure their CO_2 emissions in accordance with the World Resources Institute and World Business Council for Sustainable Development GHG Protocol and to get their emissions inventory assured by an independent third party.

In addition to the already existing four accreditation levels, in 2020 two new accreditation levels were introduced: Level 4 and Level 4+. The introduction of those two new levels aims on one hand to align the programme with the objectives of the Paris Agreement and on the other hand to give, especially to airports that have already reached a high level of carbon management maturity, the possibility to continue their improvements[65].

The six steps of the programme are shown in **Figure 19** and are as follows: Level 1 "Mapping", Level 2 "Reduction", Level 3 "Optimisation", Level 3+ "Neutrality", Level 4 "Transformation" and Level 4+ "Transition".

Figure 19 Six steps of *Airport Carbon Accreditation*

As of 31 March 2021, there are in total 336 airports in the programme worldwide. They represent 74 countries and 45.9% of global air passenger traffic. 112 reached a Level 1, 96 a Level 2, 63 a Level 3 and 60 a Level 3+ accreditation. Furthermore, five airports have already achieved accreditation at the newly introduced levels: 1 a Level 4 and 4 airports a Level 4+ accreditation.

One of its essential requirements is the verification by external and independent auditors of the data provided by airports. The Administrator of the programme has been collecting CO_2 data from participating airports since the programme launch. This has allowed the absolute CO_2 reduction from the participation in the programme to be quantified.

[65] Interim Report 2019 – 2020, *Airport Carbon Accreditation* 2020

Aggregated data are included in the *Airport Carbon Accreditation* Annual Reports thus ensuring transparent and accurate carbon reporting. At Level 2 of the programme and above, airport operators are required to demonstrate CO_2 reductions associated with the activities they control.

The Annual Report, which is published in the fall of each year, typically covers the previous reporting year (i.e., mid-May to mid-May) and presents the programme's evolution and achievements. However, because of the extraordinary conditions faced in 2020 due to COVID-19 pandemic, special provisions are applied to all accredited airports, including the merge of programme years 11 and 12, which implies the extension of accreditation validity by one year. Thus, the current *Airport Carbon Accreditation* certification period covers the timespan May 2019 to May 2021. For this reason, the last published Report is considered as an Interim Report which addresses only a part of the on-going reporting period (i.e., from 16th May 2019 to 11th December 2020), and as such does not include the usual carbon Key Performance Indicators, but only valuable information regarding key achievements and developments, the most significant global and regional trends, and case studies highlighting the airports' commitment to continued climate action in spite of the current crisis. Therefore, the tables below show carbon performance metrics until the 2018/2019 regular reporting cycle.

For historical reasons European airports remain at the forefront of airport actions to voluntarily mitigate and reduce their impact on climate change. The strong growth momentum is still being maintained as there are 167 airports in the programme. These airports account for 69.7% of European air passenger traffic.

Table 11: Emissions reduction highlights for the European region

	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012	2012-2013	2013-2014	2014-2015	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019
Total aggregate scope 1 & 2 reduction (ktCO_2)	51.7	54.6	48.7	140	130	169	156	155	169	158
Total aggregate scope 3 reduction (ktCO_2)	360	675	366	30.2	224	551	142	899	1160	1763

Table 12: Emissions offset for the European region

	2015-2016	2016-2017	2017-2018	2018-2019
Aggregate emissions offset, Level 3+ (tCO_2)	222339	252218	321170	375146

The table above presents the aggregate emissions offset by airports accredited at Level 3+ of the programme in Europe. The programme requires airports at Levels 3+ and 4+ to offset their residual Scope 1 & 2 emissions as well as Scope 3 emissions from staff business travel.

Table 13: *Airport Carbon Accreditation* key performance indicators 2018/2019

Indicator	Unit	Time Period (2018/2019)	Absolute change compared to the 3-year rolling average	Change (%)
Aggregate scope 1 & 2 emissions from airports at Levels 1-3+	tCO_2	6,520,255	-322,297	-4.9%
Scope 1 & 2 emissions per passenger from airports at Levels 1-3+	kgs of CO_2	1.81	-0.09	-4.3%
Scope 1 & 2 emissions per traffic unit from airports at Levels 1-3+	kgs of CO_2	1.55	-0.08	-4.3%
Indicator	**Unit**	**Time Period (2018/2019)**	**Absolute change (vs. previous year)**	**Change (%)**
Offsetting of aggregate scope 1 & 2 & staff business travel emissions from airports at Level 3+	tCO_{2e}	710,673	38.673	5.8%
Indicator	**Unit**	**Time Period (2018/2019)**	**Absolute change (vs. previous year)**	**Change (%)**
Scope 3 emissions from airports at Levels 3 and 3+	tCO_2	60,253,685	6,895,954	12.9%

The programme's main immediate environmental co-benefit is the improvement of local air quality.

Costs for the design, development and implementation of *Airport Carbon Accreditation* have been borne by ACI EUROPE. *Airport Carbon Accreditation* is a non-for-profit initiative, with participation fees set at a level aimed at allowing for the recovery of the aforementioned costs.

The scope of *Airport Carbon Accreditation*, i.e. emissions that an airport operator can control, guide and influence, implies that as of Level 3, aircraft emissions are also covered. Thus, airlines can benefit from the gains made by more efficient airport operations to see a decrease in their emissions. This is consistent with the ambition of the European Green Deal, the inclusion of aviation in the EU ETS and the implementation of CORSIA and therefore can support the efforts of airlines to reduce these emissions.

ASSESSMENT

The inclusion of this collective European measure is descriptive for information purposes, and no quantitative assessment of its benefits in terms of reduction in aviation emissions is provided in the common section of this action plan.

5.2 European industry roadmap to a net zero European aviation: *Destination 2050*

The Destination 2050[66] is an initiative and roadmap developed by aviation industry stakeholders (A4E, ACI EUROPE, ASD, CANSO and ERA) showing an ambitious decarbonisation pathway for European aviation.

These European industry organizations commit to work together with all stakeholders and policymakers to achieve the following climate objectives:

- Reaching net zero CO_2 emissions by 2050 from all flights within and departing from the European Economic Area, Switzerland and the UK. This means that by 2050, emissions from these flights will be reduced as much as possible, with any residual emissions being removed from the atmosphere through negative emissions, achieved through natural carbon sinks (e.g., forests) or dedicated technologies (carbon capture and storage). For intra-EU flights, net zero in 2050 might be achieved with close to no market-based measures.
- Reducing net CO_2 emissions from all flights within and departing from the European Economic Area, Switzerland and the UK by 45% by 2030 compared to the baseline[67]. In 2030, net CO_2 emissions from intra-EU flights would be reduced by 55% compared to 1990 levels.
- Assessing the feasibility of making 2019 the peak year for absolute CO_2 emissions from flights within and departing from the European Economic Area, Switzerland and UK.

With the Destination 2050 roadmap and through these commitments, the European aviation sector contributes to the Paris Agreement, recognising the urgency of pursuing the goal of limiting global warming to 1.5°C.

By doing so, the European aviation sector is also effectively contributing to the collective European Green Deal and EU's climate neutrality objectives.

This roadmap is complementary to the WayPoint 2050 Air Transport Action Group (ATAG) global pathway for the decarbonization of aviation.

ASSESSMENT

The inclusion of this collective European measure is descriptive for information purposes, and no quantitative assessment of its benefits in terms of reduction in aviation emissions is provided in the common section of this action plan.

[66] www.destination2050.eu
[67] A hypothetical 'no-action' scenario whereby CO_2 emissions are estimated based on the assumption that aircraft deployed until 2050 have the same fuel efficiency as in 2018.

5.3 Environmental Label Programme

In response to the growing expectations of citizens to understand the environmental footprint of their flights, the European Union Member States, Switzerland, Norway, Lichtenstein, the United Kingdom and the European Commission have mandated EASA to explore voluntary environmental labelling options for aviation organisations. The proposals will be aligned with the European Green Deal, established in December 2019 and that strives to make Europe the first climate-neutral continent. The overall objective of the EASA Environmental Labelling Programme is to increase awareness and transparency, and ultimately to support passengers and other actors in making informed sustainable choices by providing harmonised, reliable and easily understandable information on their choices' environmental impacts, co-ordinated within EASA Member States. It should allow rewarding those air transport operators making efforts to reduce their environmental footprint. The label initiative covers a wide range of components of the aviation sector, including aircraft, airlines and flights.

In the proof-of-concept phase, EASA developed potential technical criteria and label prototypes for aircraft technology and design as well as airline operations, to inform European citizens on the environmental performance of aviation systems. Such information would be provided on a voluntary basis by aviation operators that have chosen to use the label. Different scenarios were developed and tested to consider how citizens could interact with labelling information, e.g. on board the aircraft and/or during the booking process as well as on a dedicated website and smartphone application. Various key environmental indicators were reviewed, including the absolute CO_2 emissions and average CO_2 emissions per passenger-kilometre of airlines.

The pilot phase covering the period 2021-2023 will further expand the scope of indicators and take into account life-cycle considerations, e.g. to cover aspects from the extraction of raw materials to recycling and waste disposal. The pilot phase also foresees an impact assessment of the label.

While the potential CO_2 emissions reductions generated by such a label were not quantified at this stage, it is proposed to keep the ICAO updated on future developments concerning the European environmental labelling initiative, including on potential CO_2 emissions savings.

ASSESSMENT

The inclusion of this collective European measure is descriptive for information purposes, and no quantitative assessment of its benefits in terms of reduction in aviation emissions is provided in the common section of this action plan.

5.4 Multilateral capacity building projects

The European Union is highly committed to ensuring sustainable air transport in Europe and worldwide. In this endeavour, the EU is launching a number of initiatives in different areas to assist partner States in meeting the common environmental commitments.

5.4.1 EASA capacity-building partnerships

EASA has been selected as an implementing Agency for several of these initiatives, including the **EU-South East Asia Cooperation on Mitigating Climate Change impact from Civil Aviation** (EU-SEA CCCA), launched in 2019, and a **Capacity Building Project for CO_2 Emissions Mitigation in the African and Caribbean Region**, launched in 2020.

The overall objective of these projects[68] is to enhance the partnership between the EU and partner States in the areas of civil aviation environmental protection and climate change, and to achieve long-lasting results that go beyond the duration of the projects. The specific objectives of the two projects are to develop or support existing policy dialogues with partner States on mitigating GHG emissions from civil aviation, to contribute to the CORSIA readiness process of partner States, as well as to implement CORSIA in line with the agreed international schedule, including considerations of joining the voluntary offsetting phase starting in 2021 or at the earliest time possible. On top of the CORSIA-related support, these projects are assisting the partner States in the development and update of the State Action Plans to reduce CO2 emissions from civil aviation, as well as providing support in the development of emission data management tools supporting the implementation of State Action Plans and CORSIA.

By January 2021, the EU-SEA CCCA had improved the technical readiness of all the 10 partner States in the region, as well as their aeroplane operators' capabilities to comply with CORSIA requirements. Five States had implemented emission data management solutions to generate CORSIA Emission Reports, and eight States had successfully submitted their 2019 CORSIA CO_2 Emissions Reports to ICAO. 4 CORSIA verification bodies had been accredited in the region with dedicated support to their respective National Accreditation Bodies to finalise the accreditation process.

In addition, EASA is implementing, on behalf of the Commission, technical cooperation projects in the field of aviation in Asia, Latin-America and the Caribbean, which include an environmental component aiming at cooperation and improvement of environmental standards.

These projects have been successful in supporting regional capacity building technical cooperation to the partner States with regard to environmental standards. With regard to CORSIA, support is provided for the development or enhancement of State Action Plans, as well as for the implementation of the CORSIA MRV system. Projects have also been successful in engaging with key national and regional stakeholders (regulatory authorities, aeroplane operators, national accreditation bodies, verification bodies), thereby assessing the level of readiness for State Action Plan and CORSIA implementation on wider scale in the respective regions, and to identify further needs for additional support in this area.

5.4.2 ICAO - European Union Assistance Project

The assistance project *Capacity Building on CO_2 mitigation from International Aviation* was launched in 2013 with funding provided by the European Union, while implementation was carried out by ICAO Environment.

[68] https://www.easa.europa.eu/domains/international-cooperation/easa-by-country/map#group-easa-extra

Fourteen States from Africa and the Caribbean were selected to participate in this 5-year programme, successfully implemented by ICAO from 2014 to 2019, achieving all expected results and exceeding initial targets.

The first objective of the ICAO-EU project was to create national capacities for the development of action plans. ICAO organized specific training-seminars, directed the establishment of National Action Plan Teams in the selected States, and assisted each civil aviation authority directly in the preparation of their action plans.

By June 2016, the 14 selected States had developed action plans fully compliant with ICAO's guidelines, including robust historical data and a reliable baseline scenario. A total of 218 measures to reduce fuel consumption and CO_2 emissions were proposed in the action plans, including those related to aircraft technology, operational measures, and sustainable aviation fuels.

Four pilot mitigation measures and five feasibility studies were executed with project funding in the beneficiary States. In addition to those, the beneficiary States implemented 90 mitigation measures within the project timeframe, which had been included in their action plans[69].

With the support provided by the ICAO-EU project, ICAO has succeeded in assisting the beneficiary States transform the organizational culture towards environmental protection in aviation, through the establishment of Environmental Units with dedicated staff in the Civil Aviation Authorities along with the voluntary decision of seven selected States of the project to join the ICAO Carbon Offsetting and Reduction Scheme for International Aviation (CORSIA) from its outset.

The Phase two of this project is currently being implemented by ICAO and EASA. It covers ten African States: Benin, Botswana, Cabo Verde, Comoros, Côte d'Ivoire, Madagascar, Mali, Rwanda, Senegal and Zimbabwe. The project will run between 2020 and 2023.

ASSESSMENT

The inclusion of this collective European measure is descriptive for information purposes, and no quantitative assessment of its benefits in terms of reduction in aviation emissions is provided in the common section of this action plan.

[69] https://www.icao.int/environmental-protection/Documents/ICAO-EU_Project_FinalReport.pdf

5.5. Green Airports research and innovation projects

Under the EU research and innovation actions in support of the European Green Deal and funded by the Horizon 2020 Framework Programme, the European Commission has launched in 2020 the call for tenders: ***Green airports and ports as multimodal hubs for sustainable and smart mobility***.

A clear commitment of the European Green Deal is that "transport should become drastically less polluting", highlighting in particular the urgent need to reduce greenhouse gas emissions (GHG) in aviation and waterborne transport.

In this context, airports play a major role, both as inter-connection points in the transport networks, but also as major multimodal nodes, logistics hubs and commercial sites, linking with other transport modes, hinterland connections and integrated with cities.

As such, green airports as multimodal hubs in the post COVID-19 era for sustainable and smart mobility have a great potential to immediately contribute to start driving the transition towards GHG-neutral aviation, shipping and wider multimodal mobility already by 2025.

The scope of this research program is therefore addressing innovative concepts and solutions for airports and ports, in order to urgently reduce transport GHG emissions and increase their contribution to mitigating climate change.

Expected outcomes

The projects will perform large-scale demonstrations of green airports, demonstrating low-emission energy use (electrification or sustainable aviation fuels) for aircraft, airports, other/connected and automated vehicles accessing or operating at airports (e.g. road vehicles, rolling stock, drones), as well as for public transport and carpooling, with re-charging/re-fuelling stations and use of incentives.

They will also put the focus on the development of SAF for its use at airports.

The deadline to receive project proposals was closed in January 2021 and at the time of this action plan update the proposals are under revision. Future action plan updates will provide further information on the benefits of the implementation of this measure.

ASSESSMENT

The inclusion of this collective European measure is descriptive for information purposes, and no quantitative assessment of its benefits in terms of reduction in aviation emissions is provided in the common section of this action plan.

6. SUPPLEMENTAL BENEFITS FOR DOMESTIC SECTORS

Although the benefits of all the European collective measures included in this action plan are focused on international aviation, they are also applicable to domestic aviation (except CORSIA) and thus, will bring supplemental benefits in terms of CO_2 emissions reductions in the domestic European air traffic.

In addition, a number of those measures taken collectively in Europe and contained in this action plan offer as well additional supplemental benefits for domestic sectors beyond CO_2 savings. Those are summarized below.

6.1 ACI Airport Carbon Accreditation

Airport Carbon Accreditation is referred among the measures contained in this action plan aiming to encourage and enable airports to implement best practice carbon and energy management processes.

While its main objective is supporting airport actions to voluntarily mitigate and reduce their impact on climate change, the programme's main immediate environmental co-benefit is the improvement of local air quality linked to the non-CO_2 additional emissions benefits from the reduction of fuel burn that an airport operator can control, guide and influence.

6.2 ReFuelEU Aviation Initiative

Through the large-scale use of SAF, emissions of other pollutants impacting local air quality and other non-CO_2 effects on the climate can also be reduced, implying important potential supplemental benefits beyond CO_2 emissions reductions.

In addition to the reduction of CO_2 emissions, SAF has the additional benefit of reducing air pollutant emissions around airports when emitted during take-off and landing as emissions of non-volatile Particulate Matter (nvPM) with up to 90% and sulphur (SO_X) with 100%, compared to fossil jet fuel[70].

[70] ICAO 2016 Environmental Report, Chapter 4, Page 162, Figure 4.

Preserving the quality of natural resources can be considered an additional benefit of any policy measure aiming to increase the sustainability of aviation by boosting the SAF market while paying particular attention to the overall environmental integrity of the SAF incentivised, as it is the case of the ReFuelEU Initiative.

Finally, the production of SAF notably from biogenic waste could contribute and be an incentive for more effective waste management in the EU.

6.3 SAF Research and development projects

One European research project funded by the Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation program of the EU, is currently assessing, among other objectives, the additional supplemental benefits for domestic sectors of the use of sustainable aviation fuels, beyond its climate benefits.

AVIATOR PROJECT[71]: The project *"Assessing aviation emission Impact on local Air quality at airports: Towards Regulation"* aim to better understand air quality impacts of aviation issues, developing new tools and regulation, and linking with the health community, providing unbiased data to society.

The project will measure, quantify and characterise airborne pollutant emissions from aircraft engines under parking (with functioning APU), taxiing, approach, take-off and climb-out conditions, with specific reference to total UFPs, NOx, SOx and VOC under different climatic conditions.

It includes among its objectives measuring emissions from aircraft engines using commercially available sustainable aviation fuels to investigate its impact on total Particulate Matter formation and evolution in the plume as well as the wider airport environment.

Will perform measurements of air quality in and around three international airports: Madrid-Barajas, Zurich and Copenhagen, to validate model developments under different operational and climatic conditions and develop a proof of concept low-cost and low-intervention sensor network to provide routine data on temporal and spatial variability of key pollutants including UFP, total PM, NO_x and SO_x.

With 17 partners from 7 countries involved, the project started in June 2019 and it is expected to finalize in 2022.

6.4 The EU's Single European Sky Initiative and SESAR

The European Union's Single European Sky (SES) initiative and its SESAR (*Single European Sky ATM Research Programme*) programme are aiming to deploy a modern, interoperable and high-performing ATM infrastructure in Europe, as has been described above in detail in this action plan, among its key operational measures to reduce CO_2 emissions.

But the environmental outcomes of SESAR implementation go far beyond reducing fuel burn, and the key deliverables from the SESAR Programme have also a significant potential to mitigate **non-CO_2 emissions and noise impacts**.

It should be noted that although no targets have yet been set for non-CO_2 emissions (at local or global level) and noise impacts, the ATM Master Plan requires that each SESAR solution with an impact on these environmental aspects assesses them to the extent possible and within available resources.

In this context, for example the EUROCONTROL *Integrated aircraft noise and emissions modelling platform* IMPACT, which delivers noise contour shape files, surface and population counts based on the European Environment Agency population database, estimates of fuel burn and emissions for a wide range of pollutants, and geo-referenced

[71] https://aviatorproject.eu

inventories of emissions within the landing and take-off portion, is one of the recommended models for conducting environmental impact assessments in SESAR.

6.5. Green Airports research and innovation projects

The European Commission's Green Airports research and innovation projects referred in this action plan among the "Other measures" commonly implemented in Europe has key objectives to achieve important supplemental benefits beyond CO_2 emissions reductions, among them:

Circular Economy:

- Developing the built environment (construction/demolition) using more ecologically friendly materials and processes and incorporating these improvements in the procurement processes to sustainably decrease the ecological footprint.
- Promoting the conversion of waste to sustainable fuels.
- Addressing the sustainable evolution of airports, also in the context of circular economy (e.g. activities linked to aircraft decommissioning and collection/sorting of recyclable waste), considering institutional and governance aspects, ownership, regulation, performance indicators and balance of force between regulators, airlines and airport operators.
- Addressing the feasibility of a market-based instrument to prevent/reduce Food Loss and Waste (FLW) and to valorise a business case of transformation of FLW into new bio-based products. This includes FLW measurement and monitoring methodologies and the subsequent mapping of FLW total volume at stake in the considered airport.

Biodiversity:

- Enhancing biodiversity, green land planning and use, as well as circular economy (e.g. repair, reuse and recycling of buildings and waste, in the context of zero-waste concepts).

Non-CO_2 impacts:

- Addressing air quality (indoor, outdoor, including decontamination from microbiological pathogens) and noise trade-off.
- Assessing non-technological framework conditions, such as market mechanisms and potential regulatory actions in the short and medium term, which can provide financial/operational incentives and legal certainty for implementing low emission solutions.
- Developing and promoting new multi-actor governance arrangements that address the interactions between all airport-related stakeholders, including authorities, aircraft owners and operators, local communities, civil society organisations and city, regional or national planning departments.

APPENDIX A

DETAILED RESULTS FOR ECAC SCENARIOS FROM SECTION A

1. BASELINE SCENARIO

a) Baseline forecast for international traffic departing from ECAC airports

Year	Passenger Traffic (IFR movements) (million)	Revenue Passenger Kilometres[72] RPK (billion)	All-Cargo Traffic (IFR movements) (million)	Freight Tonne Kilometres transported[73] FTKT (billion)	Total Revenue Tonne Kilometres[74] RTK (billion)
2010	4.56	1,114	0.198	45.4	156.8
2019	5.95	1,856	0.203	49.0	234.6
2030	5.98	1,993	0.348	63.8	263.1
2040	7.22	2,446	0.450	79.4	324.0
2050	8.07	2,745	0.572	101.6	376.1

Note that the traffic scenario shown in the table is assumed for both the baseline and implemented measures scenarios.

b) Fuel burn and CO_2 emissions forecast for the baseline scenario

Year	Fuel Consumption (10^9 kg)	CO_2 emissions (10^9 kg)	Fuel efficiency (kg/RPK)	Fuel efficiency (kg/RTK)
2010	36.95	116.78	0.0332	0.332
2019	52.01	164.35	0.0280	0.280
2030	50.72	160.29	0.0252	0.252
2040	62.38	197.13	0.0252	0.252
2050	69.42	219.35	0.0250	0.250
For reasons of data availability, results shown in this table do not include cargo/freight traffic.				

[72] Calculated on the basis of Great Circle Distance (GCD) between airports, for 97% of the passenger traffic for forecast years.
[73] Includes passenger and freight transport (on all-cargo and passenger flights).
[74] A value of 100 kg has been used as the average mass of a passenger incl. baggage (ref: ICAO).

2. IMPLEMENTED MEASURES SCENARIO

2A) EFFECTS OF AIRCRAFT TECHNOLOGY IMPROVEMENTS AFTER 2019

a) Fuel consumption and CO_2 emissions of international passenger traffic departing from ECAC airports, with aircraft technology improvements after 2019 included:

Year	Fuel Consumption (10^9 kg)	CO_2 emissions (10^9 kg)	Well-to-wake CO_2e emissions (10^9 kg)	Fuel efficiency (kg/RPK)	Fuel efficiency (kg/RTK)
2010	36.95	116.78	143.38	0.0332	0.332
2019	52.01	164.35	201.80	0.0280	0.280
2030	49.37	156.00	191.54	0.0232	0.232
2040	56.74	179.28	220.13	0.0217	0.217
2050	59.09	186.72	229.26	0.0202	0.202
For reasons of data availability, results shown in this table do not include cargo/freight traffic.					

b) Average annual fuel efficiency improvement for the Implemented Measures Scenario (new aircraft technology only)

Period	Average annual fuel efficiency improvement (%)
2010-2019	-1.86%
2019-2030	-1.22%
2030-2040	-0.65%
2040-2050	-0.74%

2B) EFFECTS OF AIRCRAFT TECHNOLOGY AND ATM IMPROVEMENTS AFTER 2019

a) Fuel consumption and CO_2 emissions of international passenger traffic departing from ECAC airports, with aircraft technology and ATM improvements after 2019:

Year	Fuel Consumption (10^9 kg)	CO_2 emissions (10^9 kg)	Well-to-wake CO_2e emissions (10^9 kg)	Fuel efficiency (kg/RPK)	Fuel efficiency (kg/RTK)
2010	36.95	116.78	143.38	0.0332	0.332
2019	52.01	164.35	201.80	0.0280	0.280
2030	46.16	145.86	179.09	0.0217	0.217
2040	51.06	161.35	198.12	0.0196	0.196
2050	53.18	168.05	206.33	0.0182	0.182
For reasons of data availability, results shown in this table do not include cargo/freight traffic.					

b) Average annual fuel efficiency improvement for the Implemented Measures Scenario (new aircraft technology and ATM improvements)

Period	Average annual fuel efficiency improvement (%)
2010-2019	-1.86%
2019-2030	-1.82%
2030-2040	-1.03%
2040-2050	-0.74%

c) Equivalent (well-to-wake) CO_2e emissions forecasts for the scenarios described in this common section

Year	Well-to-wake CO_2e emissions (10^9 kg)			% improvement by Implemented Measures (full scope)
	Baseline Scenario	Implemented Measures Scenario		
		Aircraft techn. improvements only	Aircraft techn. and ATM improvements	
2010	143.38			NA
2019	201.80			NA
2030	196.8	191.5	179.1	-9%
2040	242.0	220.1	198.1	-18%
2050	269.3	229.3	206.3	-23%
For reasons of data availability, results shown in this table do not include cargo/freight traffic. Note that fuel consumption is assumed to be unaffected by the use of sustainable aviation fuels.				

APPENDIX B

NOTE ON THE METHODS TO ACCOUNT FOR THE CO2 EMISSIONS ATTRIBUTED TO INTERNATIONAL FLIGHTS

1. Background

The present note addresses recommendations on the methodologies to account the CO_2 emissions, for the guidance on the development of the common European approach for ECAC States to follow, in view of the submission to ICAO of their updated State Action Plans for CO_2 Emissions Reduction (APER).

The ECAC APER guidance shall be established on the basis of the ICAO 9988 Guidance on the Development of States' Action Plans on CO_2 Emissions Reduction Activities document (3rd edition). One of its objectives is to define a common approach for accounting CO_2 emissions of international flights: two different methods are proposed for CO_2 accounting, namely ICAO and IPCC. Because of their intrinsic definitions, it is expected that these two different approaches induce both accounting differences, and practical issues, and furthermore, two ways to target the CO_2 Emissions Reduction Activities, and to define the action plans, de facto.

As the objective of the definition of the common section of the ECAC APER guidance consists into determining a common approach for all the foreseen activities, including CO_2 accounting and monitoring, the ECAC APER Task Group required to assess the details of each methods and to propose recommendations in this present note.

2. Accounting methods

The ICAO Doc 9988 document 3rd edition defines the two CO_2 accounting methods (§3.2):

a) ICAO: each State reports the CO_2 emissions from the international flights operated by aircraft registered in the State (State of Registry).

b) IPCC: each State reports the CO_2 emissions from the international flights departing from all aerodromes located in the State or its territories (State of Origin).

The international flights concern aircraft movements from a country to another country. Each method determines the country assignment of the movement.

Method	**ICAO**	**IPCC**
Definition	The ICAO methodology is based on the State of nationality of the airline, and defines an "international" flight as one undertaken to or from an airport located in a State other than the airline's home State, i.e. each State reports only on the international activity of its own commercial air-carriers.	The IPCC methodology defines international aviation as flights departing from one country and arriving in another, i.e. each State report to IPCCs in respect of all flights departing from their territory, irrespective of the nationality of the operator.
Use in projects	CORSIA/ETS (partially)	IPCC EAER UNFCCC

2.1 Comparisons: flown distance and number of operations

The comparison of the number of operations and flown distance of 2019, aggregated at ECAC or State levels provide a good indication of the possible differences for CO_2 accounting.

At the ECAC area level, the relative difference between the ICAO and IPCC methods, is -0.66% for operations number and + 0.26% on flown distance (Source EUROCONTROL/CRCO). This is explained by the fact that movements of the operators registered outside the ECAC area member states are not counted in.

The table hereafter lists the countries for which the relative differences of counting the number of operations or flown distance is more than 50% or less than -50% (Source EUROCONTROL/CRCO).

DEPARTURE COUNTRY	(ICAO – IPCC) % difference number of operations	(ICAO – IPCC) % difference number of flown distance
ALBANIA	-71.04%	-75.34%
ARMENIA	-80.76%	-84.64%
AUSTRIA	114.51%	104.81%
BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA	-83.45%	-80.73%
CROATIA	-52.08%	-65.54%
CYPRUS	-84.06%	-92.75%
DENMARK	-68.07%	-53.81%
ESTONIA	-67.93%	-53.48%
FAROE ISLANDS	*-100.00%*	*-100.00%*
GEORGIA	-68.62%	-66.45%
GREECE	-58.26%	-65.83%
HUNGARY	213.95%	245.36%
IRELAND	509.31%	478.00%
ITALY	-71.45%	-63.90%
LIECHTENSTEIN	2100.00%	8572.91%
LITHUANIA	-78.83%	-65.95%
LUXEMBOURG	55.29%	54.05%
NORTH MACEDONIA	-98.69%	-98.90%
MALTA	97.00%	125.78%
MONACO	100.17%	708.97%
SLOVAKIA	-73.46%	-72.30%

The previous table highlights the possible relative differences for a country-by-country approach:

- High differences for low-cost origin countries (Ireland, Austria, Hungary) as all the movements exceed the departures capacity: nb operations ICAO >> nb operations IPCC
    - Example: Ireland (Ryanair), Austria (EasyJet), Hungary (Wizzair)
- High differences for business jet country locations: nb operations ICAO > nb operations IPCC
    - Example: Monaco, Malta, Liechtenstein
- Difference for countries with lot of low-cost departures: nb operations ICAO < nb operations IPCC
    - Example: Greece, Italy

3 Impact on the action plan definitions

The choice of the method entails two significantly different approaches. The ICAO approach would bring the focus on the capability of a State to manage the emissions evolution of only its own "flag carriers". A State having a significant aviation activity operated by non-flag carriers would therefore not be able to reflect in the plan its possible policy on the evolution of its overall aviation activity. Also, if the State flag carriers have an important aviation activity between third countries, this would become a "responsibility" of the State in terms of emissions reduction plans.

The IPCC method, on the contrary, brings the focus on the management of the emissions reductions for the State related aviation activity, integrating the State's policy in terms of evolution and importance of the aviation business for it and national plans to reduce emissions (e.g., promotion of operations with more fuel-efficient aircraft).

Allowing States to use the ICAO or the IPCC method has the risk of under estimation for some as well as double counting for others if consolidating the States action plans.

It is also worth noting that the IPCC method actually allows consolidating and correlating the data with the CORSIA reporting. Indeed, under CORSIA emissions are reported by States aggregated at country pair level with no info on the operator. If all States were reporting action plans based on the IPCC approach aggregating at country pair level, this info can be consolidated and correlated with the CORSIA reported one. The ICAO method for the action plans would not allow this.

3.1 Impact on the baseline definition (ECAC)

The selection of the ICAO/IPCC method also affects the definition and estimation of the CO_2 emissions of the international flights at the ECAC level.

The Base year dataset and the forecasts dataset that EUROCONTROL shall define and assess (at the ECAC level), are based on the IPCC. The ICAO method cannot be used for such assessments.

Section 2: National actions in Sweden

This section is complementary to the supra-national actions described in section 1 above. In many cases, national activities and actions in Sweden that are described in this section are illustrations of how supra-national actions are implemented in Sweden. Many activities and projects that are intended to limit the emission of carbon dioxide from civil aviation in Sweden are based on extensive cooperation. The stakeholders involved are airports, air navigation services (ANS) providers, aircraft operators, research institutes and universities as well as central government and regional authorities.

The process to gather and compile the national section of the 2021 Swedish State Action plan was coordinated by the Swedish Transport Agency during the winter and spring of 2021. This is the fourth update of the Swedish State Action Plan. The process to collect measures and initiatives from relevant stakeholders, was to a large extent done by e-mail correspondence. Due to the Covid-19 pandemic, it was particularly hard to get up to date information from aeroplane operators, and especially the smaller ones. As we contacted the stakeholders, we encouraged them to try to quantify their potential CO_2 benefits, however, this was considered as difficult for stakeholders, and we hope that by our next submission of an updated State Action Plan be able to quantify, at least some of the measures that are described below. Section 2 of this action plan was finalised on 9 July 2021, and shall be considered as subject to update after that date.

1. Technology and Standards

1.1 ELISE – Electric Air Transport in Sweden

The "ELISE" initiative is a Vinnova[75] funded project in their Challenge Driven Innovation call. ELISE aims at enabling the development of a regional battery electric airliner by collaboration between academia, industry and societal stakeholders.

Their societal vision is that most Swedish domestic flights in 2030 should take place in electric aircraft. Their industrial vision is that Swedish industry is the world leader within electric aerospace technology by 2030. Sweden has a highly regarded competence in electrification and batteries, both in academia and in research, and could take on a pioneering role in the electrification of aviation.

Efficient and flexible transport is a basic need that a society needs to function. The challenge is that these must be climate- neutral and sustainable and economically feasible within an egalitarian society. In a sparsely populated country like Sweden, an aviation infrastructure is important. According to the Government Offices, aviation accessibility contributes to 100,000 jobs and SEK 80-100 billion to GDP.

Although flying is the fastest mode of transport, it is not efficient for short distances. Travel to and from the airports, check-in and boarding times, and limited scheduling are a few of the factors that make flying a worse user experience for short distances than traveling by train or car. The most important factor, however, is the hub-spoke infrastructure of flying.

An electric aircraft fundamentally could change the economic equation as the cost and performance of electric propulsion is more scale invariant compared to the turbine engine where large scale is much more beneficial. Flying economically also with smaller aircraft and between smaller airports would be of importance for Sweden.

Further, another potential innovation is within the product development process. Aircraft development cycles are generally measured in decades, creating an inertia that is slow to respond both to rapid advances in technology and the urgent need for sustainable transport. The hope is that ELISE will be a pilot project, which will establish processes for future Swedish electric aircraft projects.

[75] Sweden´s Innovation Agency

The current status is that the ELISE project combined with several driven individuals and organisations in Sweden has created a rich ecosystem of initiatives, ranging from aerospace technology acceleration and electrified airports and test facilities to increased governmental funding for electric aviation. There is also a strong collaboration between all Nordic countries.

The main industrial partner is Heart Aerospace, who is planning to have a certified 19 seat battery electric airliner available by 2026. They have already demonstrated a full scale prototype for the driveline and a subscale aircraft model for flight testing.

1.2 Green Flyway

Green Flyway, a project running between November 2019 to September 2022, with the object to create an international testing arena for electric flights and drones in Östersund in Sweden and Röros in Norway. Green Flyway will also develop a plan to adapt airports to electric operation and investigate airspace control for autonomous aircraft. The project will also work to develop electric airlines and disseminate knowledge.

Green Flyway is financed by Interreg Sweden-Norway, Tröndelag Fylke and the County Administrative Board of Jämtland. The project owners municipality of Östersund and municipality Röros run the project in collaboration with Swedavia, The Swedish Transport Administration, The Civil Aviation Administration, The County Administrative Board, Røros Flyservice, Avinor, Trondheim municipality, Heart Aerospace, SAS, Samling Näringsliv, Frösö Park Arena, Jämtkraft, Ren Röros, Härjedalen Svegs Airport, Örnsköldsviks Airport and, Samling Näringsliv. Some results from the Green Flyway project is the world´s first winter test between two countries with an electric airplane. On February 18th 2020, Pure flight flew its fully electric aircraft U-15E electric Phinix from point to point from Sveg in Sweden via Hedlanda and Funäsdalen to Röros in Norway. Electricity and fuel consumption were measured during the trip for the electric aircraft and two fossil-powered companion aircraft of the same type and showed that the electric aircraft was many times more energy efficient.

Rise has also conducted a study for Green Flyway on socio-economic effects for electric flights and other transport modes on 9 different potential electric airlines.[76]

2. Sustainable aviation fuels

2.1 Introduction of a reduction obligation for aviation fuel

After a government inquiry that presented a proposal on a blending mandate in March 2019, the Swedish parliament in May 2021 adopted a regulation that sets out conditions for fuel suppliers to meet reduction quotas from 2021 until 2030. The reduction obligation mean that fuel suppliers reducing their greenhouse gas emissions from jet fuel by blending it with biofuels. Emissions are calculated based on a lifecycle perspective. The system uses a standard value for lifecycle emissions from fossil jet fuel. However, lifecycle emissions for biofuels are calculated according to the method outlined in the Renewable Energy Directive and are thus different for different types of raw materials and manufacturing processes.[77] The volume ratio for biofuels that is needed to meet the reduction obligation therefore depends on the greenhouse gas emissions from a lifecycle perspective from the biofuels used. Lower lifecycle emissions mean that a lower volume ratio is required, and vice versa. Regulating reduction levels up to 2030 provides better conditions for producers to invest and shows a clear direction. It is not the cost of blending that has primarily limited how high the obligation levels can be, but supply of bio-jet fuel with high climate benefits. The regulation has chosen to increase reduction levels more significantly from 2025 as supply of bio-jet fuel is expected to be greater then thanks to growing production capacity.

[76] eFlight: Socio-economic analysis
[77] DIRECTIVE (EU) 2018/2001

Table 14. Reduction levels, presumed LCA emissions and estimated volume ratios

Year	Reduction level	Presumed LCA-emissions bio-jet fuel (gCO2/MJ)	Estimated volume ratios
2021	0,8	16	1
2022	1,7	14,2	2
2023	2,6	12,5	3
2024	3,5	10,7	4
2025	4,5	8,9	5
2026	7,2	8,9	8
2027	10,8	8,9	12
2028	15,3	8,9	17
2029	20,7	8,9	23
2030	27	8,9	30

2.2 Fly Green Fund

The Fly Green Fund is a non-profit organization offering air travellers to reduce the climate impact of their flights by purchase of sustainable aviation. In contrast to traditional offsetting this generates in sector carbon reduction and leads to an increase in demand for sustainable aviation fuel (SAF), thus support investments in increased production pushing the decarbonisation of aviation. Fly Green Fund was launched in Sweden 2015 by its founders SkyNRG, Karlstad Airport and Nordic Initiative for Sustainable Aviation (NISA) and has since delivered more than 1400 tons of SAF to Swedish airports. The contributions to Fly Green Fund comes from private persons, businesses and public organizations. 75% of the funds are used to cover the additional cost for bio jet fuel and 25% is used to support supply chain projects, market development, knowledge sharing and research. Fly Green fund works independent of any airline.

2.3 Large scale bio electro jet fuel production integration at a combined heat and power (CHP)-plant in Östersund

The project "Large scale bio electro jet fuel production integration at CHP-plant in Östersund, Sweden" is an in-depth feasibility study for the establishment of a production facility for bio electro fuel (BEJF) at the current location of an existing CHP plant located at Jämtkraft's facility for CHP in Östersund. Thus, the aim of the project is to assess the feasibility for producing renewable aviation fuel at a specific location considering and evaluating e.g., different processes, operations and integrations, costs, environmental impact, business models and actors. IVL The Swedish Environmental Research Institute, Jämtkraft (JK), Chalmers University (CU), Lund University (LU), Nordic Initiative for Sustainable Aviation (NISA), and Fly Green Fund (FGF) have been the primary implementers in this project. Other project stakeholders (AFAB, and The Power Region), have provided relevant data to the various working groups. The project has included experimental work, modelling and calculations, as well as literature-based studies but not the construction of any facilities.

The project provides an example of industrial symbiosis between a power company (Jämtkraft), a fuel producer (Fabriken AB), a fuel distributor (AFAB) and representatives from the user-side (FGF and NISA), and has strong involvement of academia (Chalmers University of Technology and Lund University) and research institutes (IVL Swedish Environmental Research Institute) [78]. The project lasted from 2019 to 2020, their goal now is to continue the implementation of the project's results, but this presupposes that they investigate some additional issues and find relevant partners and funding.

[78] Large scale bio electro jet fuel production integration at CHP-plant in Östersund, Sweden,

2.4 Swedavia – Sustainable Aviation Fuel (SAF) Incentive Programme 2021

Swedavia has adopted an environmental target that 5% of all jet fuel used at Swedavia airports shall be renewable by 2025. To support airlines operating scheduled and/or charter traffic at any Swedavia airport that are using SAF. Swedavia continue its Sustainable Aviation Fuel Incentive Programme during 2021. Swedavia supports up to 50 % of the premium cost for neat SAF for approved applications. The lower limit for Swedavia is to support with 125 000 SEK, which makes the minimum premium cost 250 000 SEK for an airline group 2. The maximum support from Swedavia to an airline group is 6 MSEK until September 1, 2021, after which the limitation is waived. The total fund available for use during 2021 is 20 MSEK.

2.5 Research fund for research and development in bio-jet fuels

The Swedish Government has issued 100 MSEK designated for projects with focus on 1) Sustainable Aviation Fuels (SAF), 2) Electric Aviation, 3) Hydrogen Aviation. Research, development and innovation around refuelling and charging infrastructure is also included in the fund. The projects may run from July 2021 to December 2022. The Swedish Energy Agency is in charge of distributing these funds promoting more environmentally friendly jet fuels. Stakeholders that are eligible for applying for funds are; enterprises, public sector, universities and institutes. The assignment also includes the establishing of an innovation cluster which gathers the full value chain of bio-jet fuels and to develop a united needs analysis in order to manage the transition to sustainable aviation.

From the previous call for project, which was described in the 2018 State Action Plan, the project has established the cluster Fossil-free aviation 2045 (FFT-2045) as the main platform for the aviation ecosystem of stakeholders to develop knowledge and strategies that may meet the sector's goals of being independent of fossil fuel. This has been accomplished through mobilising and promoting dialogue between all the stakeholders that are part of the value chains that can supply the services and products necessary for this transition. An important part of the activities has therefore been a dialogue focussed on developing a joint understanding among these stakeholders regarding what these value chains may look like and how they may be developed. As a result, new contacts and networks among the stakeholders have been created, increasing the possibilities for new collaborations and initiation of research and development projects. This knowledge-building and organisational development within the ecosystem has been catalysed through conferences, presentations, workshops, webinars and the homepage.
To guide the dialogue and the cluster's development, techno economic analyses and future studies have been performed, where the stakeholders collectively have defined the transition necessary to reach the goals. The focus of this has been to highlight obstacles and needs assessments, which has been carried out in close cooperation with the project creating conditions for sustainable air transports. The key conditions that have been defined are a clear political development with associated policy instruments in support of fossil free fuels and transports as well as a strong collaboration within the ecosystem of stakeholders. As regards to the continued development of the cluster, two pathways have been discussed, which will form the basis for dialogue and coming decisions.

3. Operational improvements

3.1 In-depth investigation of design of Swedish Airspace

In 2019, the Swedish Civil Aviation Administration (LFV) reported on the assignment received from the government to carry out an in-depth study on the design of Swedish airspace. The assignment means, among other things, that LFV shall propose an airspace strategy that can form the basis for conducting a review of the airspace.

The design and capacity of the airspace are of great importance for safe, efficient and environmentally friendly aviation, and have positive effects both for a country's economy and for its competitiveness. The design of the airspace is also of great importance for the conditions that are created for the Armed Forces to operate and for the carrier in the event of a crisis and heightened preparedness.

Today's airspace in Sweden is in some parts outdated and is largely based on the conditions that applied 25 years ago. Today, both requirements and conditions are different. Analysis of air traffic in Sweden and mapping of current and future needs of airspace users shows that there are shortcomings that need to be addressed. It is time-consuming to carry out a thorough modernization of the airspace, but LFV believes that it is important that such work is started immediately. LFV therefore proposes an airspace strategy that involves:

- A review of the lower airspace (below 2,900 meters) to ensure that aircraft can fly environmentally efficiently into the country's controlled airports without pilots having to start flying in uncontrolled airspace. At the same time, accessibility for the Armed Forces and other users of the airspace at lower altitudes must be ensured
- That systems and services for handling unmanned air traffic according to the U-space concept should be operated to meet the expected development and increase of this type of air traffic
- A modernization of the airspace structure for traffic to and from the Stockholm area to be able to meet requirements in a number of performance areas, such as high and robust capacity, reduced environmental impact and increased cost efficiency (see Swea under 3.4)
- That ongoing development of the upper part of the airspace should continue within the framework of European airspace development, including within SESAR.

3.2 Airline ATM Forum

In the Airline - ATM Forum, Swedish Civil Aviation Administration (LFV) and Swedavia twice a year meet representatives from airlines operating within Sweden in order to analyse traffic flows, airspace procedures and working methods for Pilots and Air Traffic Controllers.

Airline - ATM Forum is an important meeting to gather knowledge and enhance the understanding between airlines/pilots, Swedavia and LFV. The co-operation has over the years resulted in modifications of operational procedures at LFV, such as enhanced methods for providing predictability for approach planning, enabling CDO, providing distance-to-go during approach vectoring and more fuel-efficient ways to use speed control, just to mention a few achievements.

3.3 Sweden Airspace Project (Swea)

The Swedish airspace is largely based on conditions that applied 25 years ago. Since then both requirements and conditions have changed. In 2019, Swedish Civil Aviation Administration (LFV) delivered an in-depth study on the Swedish airspace to the Ministry of Infrastructure. The report described the motives for an extensive airspace update. Analysis and mapping of current and future user needs identified shortcomings that need to be remedied. LFV is now starting the Sweden Airspace Project phase 1 and phase 2 (Swea). A full implementation of the two phases will be completed by the end of 2025. This will make it possibilities for LFV to conduct more efficient air traffic services based on a more appropriate airspace structure (procedures, ATS routes, airspace sectors and methods) for:

- All air traffic flows within Stockholm TMA
- All air traffic control sectors in Stockholm TMA
- All air traffic flows to and from Stockholm TMA
- Certain ACC sectors in Stockholm and Malmö
- Air traffic flows to and from Stockholm within Gothenburg TMA

The SWEA airspace structure will have positive effects for airspace users in a number of performance areas with a special focus on cost-effectiveness and the environment. LFV is conducting the project in close cooperation with Swedavia who is responsible for parts of the airspace that will be affected by the changes.

3.4 Training Air Traffic Controllers in fuel management

Swedish Civil Aviation Administration (LFV) train all ATC-students in a 2 hour program focusing on ATC-behaviour and flight efficiency and environmental impact. The training include impact of air traffic noise, Swedish environmental legislation for airports, and what airlines are doing to increase flight efficiency (eg. using cost indexes). But the main focus is on how different solutions to operative situations will impact flight efficiency and fuel flow. Eg. the consequences of an off-track solution instead of a level off for departing traffic, the fuel impact of speed during descent, how controllers could provide maximum predictability for pilots in their planning for optimum top of descent. All data used was checked with the main Swedish airlines.

3.5 Co-operation on Swedavia's environmental processes

Swedish Civil Aviation Administration (LFV) assists Swedavia with Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA) and in the legal processes regarding new environmental permits for some of the major airports in Sweden. These processes comprise analysis on improvements and how leading edge technology can be used to reduce the environmental impact. As a result of this co-operation, Swedavia will for example introduce new optimized RNP AR-procedures to Landvetter.

3.6 The IRIS program

The IRIS program started in 2016 and is conducted with the aim of advancing the research situation for non-straight approaches to Stockholm Arlanda Airport, but also consists of line work financed by each party. The program includes a number of different projects and activities carried out in collaboration between different actors with expertise in, for example, airport, air navigation service, airlines, industry and agencies. The program is crucial for the accounting Swedavia must do every three years within the framework of condition 17 in their environmental permit, which sets requirements for development within the area. As a result of this co-operation, Swedavia has for example implemented environmental optimized RNP AR-procedures to Arlanda.

4. Market-based measures

CORSIA and EU ETS in Sweden

Sweden, as a member of the European Union is part of the EU ETS, where aviation has been included since 2012. Sweden has, together with the 44 ECAC-member states, voluntarily chosen to participate in the Carbon Offsetting and Reduction Scheme for International aviation (CORSIA) from the Pilot Phase. On a yearly basis, information meetings are organized to keep the Swedish aeroplane operators that are covered by the scheme informed and up to date on the development. These meetings are co-hosted by the Swedish Transport Agency, the Swedish Environmental Protection Agency and the Swedish Energy Agency to provide operators with relevant information and they have possibility to ask questions and meet the persons that are responsible from the different agencies. The Swedish Environmental Protection Agency is the responsible authority that collect the CO2 emissions report from the aeroplane operators and submit them to ICAO. The Swedish Transport Agency is responsible for ICAO-related matters and developments.

5. Additional measures

5.1 "The Perfect Flight"

In 2019, the domestic air carrier Braathens Regional Airlines (BRA) performed the concept of a "Perfect Flight". With 50% fossil-free biofuel in the tank, every element of the flight management process was optimised to keep carbon emissions to a minimum. Assisted by Swedish Civil Aviation Administration (LFV), this included an optimised flight altitude, a slower approach, the straightest flight path and an already very fuel-efficient aircraft, allowing BRA to reduce the net emissions of carbon dioxide by 46% compared to the same flight with fossil fuel. The flight was a collaboration between BRA, aircraft manufacturer ATR, biofuel suppliers Air BP and Neste, as well as Halmstad City Airport and Bromma Stockholm Airport.[79]

5.2 Tax on air travel

From April 2018, a tax on aviation is applied on all Swedish airports and aircrafts approved for more than ten passengers. The tax rate differs on the final destination of the trip. For shorter trips, the tax rate is 63 SEK per passenger, for medium range trips the tax rate is 262 SEK per passenger and for longer trips the tax rate is 418 SEK per passenger. The full list of tax rates for different countries can be found on The Swedish Tax Agency's website.[80]

5.3 Scandinavian Airline System (SAS) environmental work

SAS short-term environmental sustainability goal is to reduce its absolute climate affecting carbon emissions by 25% in 2025 compared to 2005. Long-term SAS has identified the potential to achieve 50% absolute reductions by 2030 compared to 2005. In order to achieve the later improved prerequisites needs to be developed and aligned beyond SAS control. SAS fully supports the IATA/ATAG roadmap towards 50% emission reduction in 2050 and will contribute positively. SAS has the ambition to achieve "net-zero" in the period 2045-2050.

The main drivers for the transition in the coming decade are fleet renewal, further fuel saving and usage of alternative sustainable aviation fuel (SAF). Development of intermodal transport solutions and usage of bio carbon capture are also mechanisms anticipated to be used.

SAS is currently in an ongoing fleet replacement process. On the short-haul segment less efficient B737NG and A320ceo-family aircraft are replaced with more efficient A320neo. On the long-haul segment A340 has been decommissioned and are being replaced by A350, as well as smaller A321LR are being introduced.

---

[79] Braathens Regional Airlines performs The Perfect Flight (flygbra.se)
[80] Air tax rates per country

Furthermore, SAS is working actively with fuel saving activities which includes almost all operations. The activities range from all types of efficiency enhancements incorporated in the flight procedures in the daily operation to modification of existing aircraft, for example with upgraded engines and lighter interior. If SAS don't control the process itself work is done through stakeholder collaboration with for example airports and air navigations service providers. The last and maybe most important long-term work is SAS contribution and efforts to accelerate the commercialization of sustainable aviation fuels. SAS has been using SAF in limited extend over a number of year and has the goal to use SAF to the equivalent amount used on SAS domestic routes (approximately 18% in average over the last five years) in 2030. SAS is involved in multiple SAF initiatives on the Nordic market where the initiatives covers the identified and most material certified production methods. Since a couple of year SAS offers a SAF upgrade product to its customers who demand a service with even lower emissions.

In addition to above SAS is engaged in numerous initiatives aiming at commercializing aircraft using hydrogen, electricity, SAF or a combination as energy in aircraft with considerable increased energy efficiency.

5.4 Electric Aviation Strategy by Swedavia

Swedavia has decided on a strategy for electric flights with the goal that all of Swedavia's ten airports will be able to handle electric flights and that a first commercial electric flight line can be taken into use around 2025. At Åre Östersund Airport, it is planned that a test arena for electric flights will be commissioned in the autumn. The test flights of electric aircraft and drones will be carried out in the airspace between Åre Östersund and Röros Airport in Norway in collaboration with a number of partners in both Sweden and Norway, within the framework of the EU project Green Flyway.

5.5 Swedavia –airport carbon accreditation

Swedavia owns, operates and develops a network of Swedish airports. All of Swedavia's airports are climate-certified at the level 3+ level of the Airport Carbon Accreditation (ACA) programme. Swedavia plans to certify Arlanda in 2021 and Landvetter in 2022 according to ACA 4+. The goal is that all of Swedavia's airports will be certified according to ACA 4+ in 2025.

5.6 Nordic cooperation

Nordic Network for Electric Aviation (NEA)

The Nordic Network for Electric Aviation (NEA) is the platform where Nordic actors come together to accelerate the introduction of electric aviation in the Nordic countries. The project is a collaboration between fourteen partners from four Nordic countries, from research, business, airports to airliners. The Nordic countries are leading the way towards sustainable air travel.

- Norway aims for all short-haul flights to be 100% electric by 2040, and Sweden aims for all domestic air travel to be fossil-fuel free by 2030, and all international flights departing from Swedish airports by 2045.
- Finavia in Finland, Avinor in Norway and Aeroklubben Gothenburg, Sweden have introduced the first electric aircraft in their respective countries, and Elfly AS has ordered 18 electric trainer aircraft and led the acquisition of another 60 aircraft for flight schools in Sweden and Norway.
- There is also a burgeoning electric aerospace industry in the Nordic countries, evidenced by Swedish Electric Aircraft start-up Heart Aerospace AB.

Project NEA has four objectives: The first objective is to standardize electric air infrastructure in the Nordic countries, by consolidating the national efforts into a unified standard. This process includes collaborating on a charging infrastructure. The second objective is to develop business models for regional point-to-point connectivity between Nordic countries, and to create new business models for new direct routes between the Nordic countries. The third objective is to develop aircraft technology for Nordic weather conditions. This objective involves assessing and developing technologies for battery thermal management and de-icing. The fourth objective is to create a platform for European and global collaboration.

The project will organize workshops twice yearly. Half of these will be symposiums open for public, where it will disseminate the project status and results and solicit feedback from a wider audience. Project NEA will be the Nordic platform for exchange of ideas between the Nordic countries and the rest of the world. This platform will be vital as the Nordic countries continue to lead the transition towards a sustainable regional mobility.

Nordic Initiative for Sustainable Aviation (NISA)

NISA is a Nordic association working to promote and develop a more sustainable aviation industry, with a specific focus on sustainable aviation fuels and the development of new propellants for the aviation sector. The goal of NISA is to accelerate the development and the commercialization of sustainable fuels and electric- and hydrogen driven aircraft. This is achieved by organizing activities, initiate and participate in projects and analyses, strengthening the cooperation across the value chain and by focusing on opportunities in the Nordic region. The actors behind the membership driven initiative are the Nordic airports, Nordic airlines and their organizations, and the aviation authorities. Also, the aircraft manufacturers Airbus and Boeing are members of NISA.

6. Supplemental benefits for domestic sectors

6.1 Fossilfritt flyg 2045

In autumn 2018, the project Fossil-Free Air Transport 2045 (FFT 2045) was founded by SAS, Swedavia and RISE with the aim to “establish and operate an innovation cluster that will manage the process and coordinate value chain stakeholders, from wood to wing, in order to manage the transition to sustainable aviation. The cluster will help Sweden’s air transport achieve independence from fossil fuels by 2045 and help it become a leading global aviation biofuel region.”

Fossil-free aviation 2045 has its roots in Fossil-free Sweden and the roadmap that was made by the industry in the context of Fossil-free Sweden. This innovation cluster has consisted of stakeholders from across the aviation ecosystem. The innovation cluster has been a networking place for the projects that was formed from the 100 million SEK that the Swedish Government set aside between 2018 and 2020 to accelerate the market of fossil-free aviation. The innovation cluster was also a part of this call.

Besides being a platform and facilitator for fossil-free aviation was a huge task for the project to gather the ecosystem so they together would make a list of needs that had to be taken care of to reach the goals of fossil-free aviation 2045 and fossil-free domestic aviation 2030.

The report produced by stakeholders from across the aviation ecosystem, will serve as input for the cluster’s further efforts.

The commitment from stakeholders has not only been aimed at determining what others should do, but lively discussions have taken place about how each stakeholder and their organisations can do their part to achieve the goals. There are seven general conclusions and eleven needs and recommendations in the report that will shape the road towards a fossil-free aviation in Sweden.[81]

6.2 Support for Local Climate Investments

The Swedish Environmental Protection Agency administer and approves the investment support Klimatklivet, an initiative that aim to reduce emissions of carbon dioxide and other gases that affect the climate at a local and regional level in Sweden. Through Klimatklivet, measures in aviation concerning electric aircraft have been approved. New applications are being received on an ongoing basis. Until 2021, a total of six measures concerning charging infrastructure for electric aircraft have been granted support. The approved measures concern 12 charging points for electric aircraft. Most of these measures are in in the western Sweden, where there is cooperation between the airports to build up a network of electric aircraft chargers, but also in the regions of Dalarna and on Gotland. In total, the measures are estimated to reduce emissions by approximately 446,000 kg CO2 equivalents per year.

6.3 A feasibility study on the possibility of agreeing on fossil-free air traffic under public service obligation

The Swedish Transport Administration had a mission in 2020 to perform a feasibility study in order to examine the extent to which the state-procured air traffic can contribute to the goal of reducing the climate impact of aviation and accessibility throughout the country. The Administration has analysed the extent to which the use of biojet fuel and the electrification of the contracted air traffic can contribute to the fact that greenhouse gas emissions from the transport sector are in principle zero by 2045. The study shows that the Swedish Transport Administration can, in a shorter time frame, open the way for the weighting of added value in the evaluation model for measures to reduce climate impact (in connection with tenders).

6.4 Swedavia - climate adaptation

Swedavia has developed a way to examine how the airports' master plans take into account a changing climate. The identified climate-related risks will form the basis for what adaptation measures may be required in the business in the future and that the climate effects that are addressed are primarily related to changes in temperature, precipitation and wind conditions. A review of relevant guidelines is also currently underway. Swedavia has also developed a new guideline for how, among other things, the stormwater system should be planned to deal with future climate change.

6.5 Actions at regional and local level

Green airports

On 1 July 2019, SRF (Swedish regional airports) started the project Grön flygplats (Green Airports). It is a three-year project co-financed by the European Regional Development Fund. The project aims to reduce energy use and carbon dioxide emissions in the airport's own operations and to reduce the amount of unsorted waste. All airports have made a climate survey according to a model (ACERT) that makes it possible to go ahead and certify themselves according to Airport Carbon Accreditation. The project's common goals for the 26 airports involved in the project are:

[81] Swedish Transport Administration´s study

- Reduce energy use by 6% from 2018 to 2021. This means a reduction of 2,392 MWh.
- Reduce carbon dioxide emissions by 49% from 2018 to 2021. This means a reduction of 877 tonnes of carbon dioxide.
- Reduce the amount of unsorted waste by 14% from 2018 to 2021. This means a reduction of 47.5 tonnes.

Two airports, Örebro and Skellefteå, are completely fossil-free and more are underway. Many airports have implemented virtually all the measures they are aware of. They will now work together with Cleantech companies to find new climate-smart solutions for airport operations. The airports must also make it possible for the airlines to refuel and charge fossil-free as technological development progresses. As part of this, six of the airports have made a procurement of biojet and more airports will do so before the project is over. The idea is that the airports reduce the climate by buying biojet for their own business trips. The airports will, together with the electricity network suppliers, investigate what capacity is in the network and how and if it can be increased. This as a preparation for electric aircrafts.

Four airports, Jönköping, Halmstad, Ängelholm-Helsingborg and Kalmar Öland, have solar cells installed and more have plans to install. Green airports have therefore produced an investigation that shows how to do it without risking disrupting radio traffic. Växjö-Småland Airport has been involved in the project "från Flis till Flygplan i Småland" (from wood chips to airplanes in Småland)[82], which investigated the possibility of producing biojet from residual products from the forest.

[82] Authors own translation.

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AAT - Aircraft Assignment Tool

ACARE – Advisory Council for Research and Innovation in Europe

ACA – Airport Carbon Accreditation

ACI – Airports Council International

AIRE – The Atlantic Interoperability Initiative to Reduce Emissions

APER TG - Action Plans for Emissions Reduction Task Group of the ECAC/EU Aviation and Environment Working Group (EAEG)

ATM – Air Traffic Management

CAEP – Committee on Aviation Environmental Protection

CNG – Carbon neutral growth

CORSIA - Carbon Offsetting and Reduction Scheme for International Aviation

EAER – European Aviation Environmental Report

EASA – European Aviation Safety Agency

EC – European Commission

ECAC – European Civil Aviation Conference

EEA – European Economic Area

EFTA – European Free Trade Association

EU – European Union

EU ETS – the EU Emissions Trading System

GHG – Greenhouse Gas

ICAO – International Civil Aviation Organisation

IFR – Instrumental Flight Rules

IPCC – Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change

IPR – Intellectual Property Right

JU – Joint Undertaking

MBM – Market-based Measure

MT – Million tonnes

PRISME - Pan European Repository of Information Supporting the Management of EATM

RED – Renewable Energy Directive

RPK – Revenue Passenger Kilometre

RTK – Revenue Tonne Kilometre

RTD – Research and Technological Development

SAF – Sustainable Aviation Fuels

SES – Single European Sky

SESAR – Single European Sky ATM Research

SESAR JU – Single European Sky ATM Research Joint Undertaking

SESAR R&D – SESAR Research and Development

SMEs - Small and Medium Enterprises

— END —